# Comparison of MRI and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT for the evaluation of MSA-P clinical severity

MIKI NISHIMORI<sup>1</sup>, YORIKO MURATA<sup>1</sup>, HITOMI IWASA<sup>1</sup>, KANA MIYATAKE<sup>1</sup>, MICHIKO TADOKORO<sup>1</sup>, SHINO KOHSAKI<sup>2</sup>, MUNENOBU NOGAMI<sup>3</sup>, NORIHIKO HAMADA<sup>4</sup>, HITOSHI NINOMIYA<sup>5</sup>, YASUSHI OSAKI<sup>6</sup>, HIROKAZU FURUYA<sup>6</sup> and TAKUJI YAMAGAMI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Kochi Medical School, Nankoku, Kochi 783-8505; <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, JINSEI-KAI Hosogi Hospital, Kochi-shi, Kochi 780-0926; <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiology, Kobe University Hospital, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0017; <sup>4</sup>Department of Radiology, National Hospital Organization Kochi Hospital, Kochi-shi, Kochi 780-8077; <sup>5</sup>Integrated Centre for Advanced Medical Technologies and <sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Kochi Medical School, Nankoku, Kochi 783-8505, Japan

Received February 7, 2018; Accepted February 26, 2018

DOI: 10.3892/br.2018.1086

Abstract. The aim of the present study was to compare the efficacy of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and <sup>123</sup>I-labeled 2β-carbomethoxy-3β-(4-iodophenyl)-N-(3-f luoropropyl)nortropane single photon emission computed tomography (123I-FP-CIT SPECT) for determining the clinical severity of patients with multiple system atrophy with Parkinsonism (MSA-P). MRI and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT images from 17 patients with MSA-P as diagnosed using the Unified MSA Rating Scale part IV (UMSARS IV) score were compared. Brain MRI scans were available for all 17 patients and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT images were available for 12 patients. Putaminal atrophy (PA), hyperintense putaminal rim (HPR), hyperintense pons (hot cross bun sign, HCB), atrophy of the cerebellar vermis and hemisphere (cerebellar atrophy, CA) and other abnormalities were evaluated in the MRI scans. Distribution of striatal uptake (SU) and the specific binding ratio (SBR) on each side of the bilateral striatum were evaluated using <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT images. No significant associations were observed between HPR, HCB, CA and UMSARS IV score. However, the frequency of PA increased significantly with higher UMSARS IV score (P<0.05). No significant association was observed between UMSARS IV score and SBR. The results of the present study suggest that PA, which is known to be a diagnostic indicator for MSA-P, may be used to determine the clinical severity of MSA-P with greater efficacy

E-mail: jm-miki-y@kochi-u.ac.jp

than other MRI findings, including HPR, HCB and CA and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT results.

# Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides precise anatomical, volumetric and qualitative information, making it a reliable method for distinguishing between multiple system atrophy with Parkinsonism (MSA-P) and other Parkinsonism symptoms (1-3). Atrophy of the putamen, middle cerebellar peduncle, pons and/or cerebellum may be observed in the MRI scans of patients with MSA-P according MSA criteria (4). In addition, hyperintense putaminal rim (HPR) and hyperintense pons (hot cross bun sign, HCB) are often present in patients with MSA-P (1,5).

Previous studies have reported that <sup>123</sup>I-labeled  $2\beta$ -carbome thoxy- $3\beta$ -(4-iodophenyl)-N-(3-fluoropropyl) nortropane single photon emission computed tomography (<sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT) is useful for evaluating striatal dopamine transporter deficiency, which can cause Parkinsonism as well as MSA-P (6,7). Reduced striatal uptake (SU) is typically observed in the posterior putamen of patients with MSA-P and is similar to observations in other nigrastriatal degenerative diseases, including idiopathic Parkinson's disease (8,9). Dopaminergic neurologic deficiency may be quantified using the specific binding ratio (SBR), which uses the region-of-interest approach derived from the total count in the striatum (10).

The prognoses of patients with MSA, including those with MSA-P, is poor; mortality has been reported to occur within 2-21 years (median, 9.5 years) of the first appearance of symptoms (11,12). Increasing our understanding of disease progression is essential for predicting patient prognoses and developing effective treatment strategies for the future. However, patients with MSA-P present with various symptoms, including Parkinsonism, cerebellar symptoms and autonomic symptoms (4), which makes diagnosis clinically challenging. The International Cooperative Ataxia Rating Scale was previously used to assess cerebellar syndrome, while the Unified

*Correspondence to:* Dr Miki Nishimori, Department of Radiology, Kochi Medical School, Kohasu 185-1, Okocho, Nankoku, Kochi 783-8505, Japan

*Key words:* multiple system atrophy with Parkinsonism, clinical severity, <sup>123</sup>I-labeled  $2\beta$ -carbomethoxy- $3\beta$ -(4-iodophenyl)-N-(3-fluo ropropyl) nortropane single photon emission computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging

Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale was used to evaluate Parkinsonism; however, these scales evaluate only a subset of clinical manifestations (13,14). In 2004, the Unified Multiple System Atrophy Rating Scale (UMSARS) was proposed as a novel clinical severity scale for MSA - UMSARS is now widely used to evaluate the severity of MSA (15). UMSARS comprises four parts: I, historical review; II, motor examination scale; III, autonomic examination; and IV, global disability scale. Of these parts, part IV is considered to be associated with clinical severity (15).

A literature review was performed by our group and, to the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have used MRI and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT to evaluate the severity of MSA-P. Furthermore, although it has been reported that the severity of Parkinsonism is correlated with imaging results (16), few studies have focused on the correlation between global disability and image findings. In the present study, the efficacy of MRI and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT for detecting imaging features that indicate the clinical severity of MSA-P based on UMSARS IV score were compared.

# Materials and methods

*Ethics statement*. The present study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of Kochi Medical School (Nankoku, Japan). Due to the retrospective nature of the present study, written informed consent was waived.

*Patients*. A total of 17 patients (6 men, 11 women; mean age, 70 years; range, 54-74 years; mean disease duration, 36 months; range, 4-96 months) were diagnosed with MSA-P by a neurologist at the Department of Neurology at Kochi Medical School between October 2010 and March 2017 (4). Brain MRI was performed for all patients and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT was performed for 12 patients. All patients had been treated using levodopa (100-600 mg/day depending on disease severity and drug efficacy) for 1 to 22 months prior to imaging examinations. The interval between MRI and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT imaging was <6 months, during which time the clinical severity did not change in any of the patients.

Imaging protocol. Brain MRI was performed using a 1.5-T system (Signa HDx; GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) using an 8-channel coil. Images were recorded in the transverse plane using T1-weighted spin echo [repetition time (TR), 400-600 msec; echo time (TE), 300 msec; flip angle (FA), 90°; matrix, 320x224; number of excitations (NEX), 1], T2-weighted fast spin echo (TR, 3,000-4,000 msec; TE, 120 msec; FA, 90°; matrix, 384x256; NEX, 2) and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (TR, 10,000 msec; TE, 142 msec; FA, 90°; matrix, 256x192; NEX, 1). The slice thickness was 5 mm (gap, 5 mm) and the field of view was 24 cm. All <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT data were acquired using a SPECT CT system (Symbia T2 TruePoint SPECT CT; Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) equipped with a low-energy, high-resolution collimator. Attenuation correction used low-dose CT (17) and scatter correction was performed using the triple energy window method (18). SPECT images were obtained 3 h following injection of <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT (167 MBq; Nihon Medi-Physics Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Assessment of the patients' severity. Clinical severity (score 1-5) was assessed by an experienced neurologist based on UMSARS part IV (15).

Image analysis. All images were retrospectively evaluated by two diagnostic radiologists who were blinded to the clinical data. The following features were assessed: i) Putaminal atrophy [posterolateral linearization of the putaminal margin (19): PA]; ii) Hyperintense putaminal rim (HPR) on T2-weighted imaging (T2WI); iii) Hyperintense pons (hot cross bun sign, HCB) on T2WI; iv) Atrophy of the cerebellar vermis and hemisphere (cerebellar atrophy, CA); and v) other brain abnormities on T1WI, T2WI or fluid-attenuated inversion recovery with reference to anatomical landmarks, including the internal and external capsules. The distribution of SU on <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT images was visually assessed. SU was quantified using SBR with DaTView software (version 6.1; AZE, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Bilateral SBRs (for the right and left striatum) were individually divided into higher side (SBR higher) and lower side (SBR lower) groups with DaTView, regardless of their right or left positioning.

*Statistical analysis.* PA, HPR, HCB, CA and SBR were compared according to sex and age using the Mann-Whitney U test, Cochran-Armitage test and Fisher's exact test. <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT findings and SBR were compared with UMSARS IV using the Cochran-Armitage and Jonckheere-Terpstra tests. SBR results are expressed as the mean (range). All statistical analyses were performed using Easy R (version 2.7.11; Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan,), a graphical user interface for R (version 3.3.3; The R Foundation, Vienna, Austria) (20). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

# Results

*Patient characteristics and UMSARSIV scores*. The distribution of UMSARS IV scores was as follows: Score 1, 1 patient; score 2, 6 patients; score 3, 8 patients; score 4, 2 patients. Patient characteristics and the results of MRI and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT scans are summarized in Table I. The results of MRI and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT were not significantly associated with age or sex.

*MRI results*. Of the 17 patients enrolled in the present study, 12 were demonstrated to have at least one of PA, HPR, HCB or CA based on MRI results. PA was observed in 9/12 patients, including in 3 with HPR. HCB was observed in 1/12 and CA was evident in 9/12 patients. PA and HPR were identified asymmetrically in each patient, whereas HCB and CA were symmetrical (Fig. 1). A total of 9 patients were demonstrated to have symmetrical lacunar infarcts in the putamen. No other significant changes, including trauma or brain tumors, were identified from MRI results.

<sup>123</sup>*I-FP-CIT SPECT results.* For <sup>123</sup>*I-FP-CIT SPECT*, asymmetric reduction of SU in the posterior putamen was observed in all 12 patients (Fig. 2). SBR higher had a range of 1.98-6.27 and SBR lower had a range of 1.56-4.71 (Table I).



Age         DD           Patient         (years)         Sex         (months)         PA         HPR         HCB         CA         L1         UMSARSIV         SBR (lower)         SB           1         84         M         60         -         -         +         +         1 $3.71$ SBR (lower)         SB           2         68         F         11         +         -         -         +         +         1 $3.71$ SBR (lower)         SB           3         67         F         84         -         -         +         +         1 $3.71$							MIKI				∐-T	
1       84       M       60       -       -       +       +       1       3.71         2       68       F       11       +       -       -       +       +       1       3.71         3       67       F       81       M       60       +       -       -       +       +       1       3.71         5       64       M       7       -       -       +       +       +       2       3.71         6       54       F       84       M       7       -       +       +       +       2       3.71         7       84       M       12       -       -       +       +       2       1.56         8       64       F       30       -       -       +       +       2       N/A         9       58       M       25       +       +       +       4.11         10       66       F       30       -       -       2       N/A         11       59       F       36       +       +       +       3       4.11         12       73       73 <th>Patient</th> <th>Age (years)</th> <th>Sex</th> <th>DD (months)</th> <th>PA</th> <th>HPR</th> <th>HCB</th> <th>CA</th> <th>LI</th> <th>UMSARS IV</th> <th>SBR (lower)</th> <th>SBR (higher)</th>	Patient	Age (years)	Sex	DD (months)	PA	HPR	HCB	CA	LI	UMSARS IV	SBR (lower)	SBR (higher)
2       68       F       11       +       2       37         3       67       F       84       M       60       +       156       116       10       66       +       +       +       +       +       11       10       55       14,11       11       11       10       57       10       14,11       11       11       10       11       10       11       10       11       10       11       10<	-	84	M	60	I	I	ı	ı	+	-	3.71	3.93
3       67       F       84       -       +       206         5       64       M       7       +       +       +       +       +         6       54       F       -       -       +       +       +       +       +         6       54       F       7       84       M       7       -       +       156       106       10       06       +       +       +       +       +       10       10       05       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10	2	68	Ц	11	+	I	I	+	+	2	3.7	4.79
4       81       M       60       +       2       1.56         5       54       F       4       7       8       M       7       8         6       54       F       4       -       -       +       -       2       1.56         8       64       F       30       -       +       +       2       N/A         9       58       M       12       -       +       +       +       471         9       58       M       25       N/A       -       -       +       +       +       471         9       58       M       25       +       +       +       +       -       2       N/A         10       66       F       18       +       +       +       +       -       -       -       +       +       - <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>67</td><td>Ц</td><td>84</td><td>ı</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>2</td><td>2.06</td><td>3.26</td></t<>	3	67	Ц	84	ı	I	I	+	+	2	2.06	3.26
5       64       M       7       8       NA         6       54       M       12       8       84       M       12       8         7       84       M       12       8       64       7       8       471         8       64       7       30       9       58       M       25       N/A         9       58       M       25       8       471       9       7       471         9       58       M       25       8       412       9       471       10         10       66       7       8       4       1       3       4,11       1         11       59       7       8       4       1       3       1       1         12       79       7       8       6       8       1       1       1       1       1         13       73       7       8       7       9       2.83       1       1       1         13       73       7       7       7       7       8       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1	4	81	Μ	60	+	I	I	+	ı	2	1.56	1.98
6       54       F       4       -       -       +       2       N/A         7       84       M       12       -       -       -       +       2       N/A         8       64       F       30       -       -       -       2       N/A         9       58       M       25       +       +       +       3       4.11         9       58       M       25       +       +       +       3       4.11         10       66       F       18       +       +       +       +       3       4.11         11       59       F       36       +       +       +       3       3.01         11       59       F       36       +       +       +       +       3       3.01         12       79       F       36       +       +       +       +       3       2.83         13       78       F       96       +       +       +       3       1.63         14       75       F       35       1       -       +       +       5       2.25	5	64	Μ	7	ı	I	I	ı	ı	2	N/A	N/A
7       84       M       12       -       -       -       -       2       N/A         8       64       F       30       -       -       -       -       2       N/A         9       58       M       25       +       +       +       3       4.11         10       66       F       18       +       +       +       3       4.11         11       59       F       36       +       +       +       +       3       1.11         11       59       F       36       +       +       +       +       4.11         12       79       F       36       +       +       +       +       5       3.01         12       79       F       96       +       +       +       +       +       5       3.01         13       78       F       35       +       +       +       +       +       5       3.01         14       75       F       35       +       +       +       +       +       5       3.01         15       77       F       21 <td< td=""><td>9</td><td>54</td><td>Ц</td><td>4</td><td>ı</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>ı</td><td>+</td><td>2</td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td></td<>	9	54	Ц	4	ı	I	I	ı	+	2	N/A	N/A
8 64 F F 30 - + + + 3 4.71 9 58 M 25 + + + + + + 3 4.11 10 66 F 18 + + 3 3.01 11 59 F 36 + + 3 2.83 12 79 F 60 - + + + 3 2.83 13 78 F 96 + + + +	7	84	Μ	12	I	I	I	ı	ı	2	N/A	N/A
9 58 M 25 + + + - + + 3 4.11 10 66 F 1 18 + + 3 3.01 11 59 F 36 + + 3 2.83 12 79 F 60 + + - 3 2.83 13 78 F 96 + + + + 2 2.25 13 78 F 35 + + + 3 1.63 14 75 F 35 + + + + 3 N/A 15 77 F 21 + +	8	64	Ц	30	ı	I	I	+	+	ŝ	4.71	6.27
10       66       F       18       +       -       -       301         11       59       F       36       +       -       -       3       3.01         12       79       F       60       -       -       +       5       3       2.83         12       79       F       60       -       -       +       4       3       2.25         13       78       F       96       +       +       +       3       1.63         14       75       F       35       +       -       -       +       +       3       N/A         15       77       F       21       -       -       -       +       +       +       -	6	58	Μ	25	+	+	I	+	+	ŝ	4.11	5.00
11       59       F       36       +       -       +       -       3       2.83         12       79       F       60       -       -       -       3       2.83         13       78       F       96       +       +       +       3       2.25         13       78       F       96       +       +       -       3       1.63         14       75       F       35       +       -       -       +       3       N/A         15       77       F       21       -       -       -       +       +       3       N/A         15       77       F       21       -       -       -       +       +       -       3       N/A	10	66	Ц	18	+	I	I	ı	I	3	3.01	3.19
12       79       F       60       -       -       -       3       2.25         13       78       F       96       +       +       +       3       1.63         14       75       F       35       +       -       -       +       3       1.63         14       75       F       35       +       -       -       +       3       N/A         15       77       F       21       -       -       +       -       3       N/A         15       77       F       21       -       -       +       +       3       N/A	11	59	Ц	36	+	I	I	+	ı	3	2.83	5.10
13     78     F     96     +     +     +     -     -     +     3     1.63       14     75     F     35     +     -     -     +     3     N/A       15     77     F     21     -     -     +     +     3     N/A       15     77     F     21     -     -     -     +     3     N/A	12	79	Ц	09	I	I	I	ı	I	3	2.25	3.01
14 75 F 35 + + 3 N/A 15 77 F 21 + 3 N/A 15 77 F 21 3 N/A	13	78	Ц	96	+	+	I	ı	+	3	1.63	2.46
15 77 F 21 3 N/A	14	75	Ц	35	+	I	I	I	+	3	N/A	N/A
	15	LL	ц	21	I	I	I	+	I	3	N/A	N/A
10.C 4 + + + - + + CI T T 1/ 01	16	71	Ц	15	+	+	I	+	+	4	3.07	4.99
17 62 M 36 + - + + - 4 1.63	17	62	Μ	36	+	ı	+	+	I	4	1.63	2.24

Table I. Patient characteristics, MRI and <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT finding results.

UMSARS IV score (n)	MRI				
	$PA(r)^{a}$	HPR (x)	HCB (y)	CA(z)	
1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
2 (6)	2 (33)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (50)	
3 (8)	5 (63)	2 (25)	0 (0)	4 (50)	
4 (2)	2 (100)	1 (50)	1 (50)	2 (100)	

Table II. Relationship between MRI findings and UMSARS IV score.

Data were expressed as number (frequency). PA, putaminal atrophy; HPR, hyperintense putaminal rim; HCB, hot cross bun sign; CA, cerebellar atrophy; UMSARS IV, Unified Multiple System Atrophy Rating Scale part IV. r, PA/n x100; x, HPR/n x100; y, HCB/n x100; z, CA/n x100. <sup>a</sup>Increasing with increasing UMSARS IV score, P<0.05.



Figure 1. Representative brain MRI scans of multiple system atrophy with Parkinsonism. (A) Brain MRI of patient 16. Right putaminal atrophy and hyperintense putaminal rim were apparent on T2-weighted imaging. The arrow indicates right putaminal atrophy and a hyperintense putaminal rim. (B) Brain MRI of patient 17. Hot cross bun sign and bilateral cerebellar atrophy were evident on T2-weighted imaging. One division of the scale bar represents 10 mm. The arrow indicates hot cross bun sign, and arrowheads indicate bilateral cerebellar atrophy.

Association between imaging results and UMSARS IV scores. The frequency of PA increased significantly with increased UMSARS IV score (P<0.05); however, no significant associations were identified between UMSARS IV scores and HPR, HCB or CA (Table II). Furthermore, the results of the present study did not identify a significant association between UMSARS IV scores and SBR (Fig. 3).

## Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first report to evaluate whether MRI or <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT is more effective for determining clinical severity in patients with MSA-P. The results of MRI revealed characteristic MSA-P features in 12 out of 17 patients. Among these, PA was observed in 9 patients. PA frequency increased significantly with increasing UMSARS IV score. For <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT, reduced SU in the posterior putamen was observed in all patients; however, no significant association was observed between SBR and UMSARS IV score.

The results of the present study revealed a significant association between PA (as identified using MRI) and the clinical severity (based on UMSARS IV) of patients with MSA-P. It has been reported that PA is associated with posterolateral-predominant neurologic deficiencies and gliosis (21). Neurologic deficiencies of the putamen cause serious defects in the dopamine transport synapse route, as well as a deficiency of nigral cells, which leads to Parkinsonism (19,21,22). It has previously been reported that the severity of Parkinsonism is correlated with PA and abnormal diffusivity (16,23). The severity of MSA-P may be associated with Parkinsonism, cerebellar symptoms and autonomic symptoms. However, no significant association was identified between CA appearing equivalent to PA and clinical severity. Based on the results of the present study, CA does not appear to significantly affect the severity of MSA-P and, as such, it has been hypothesized that Parkinsonism may be a factor that affects clinical severity.

The results of <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT revealed reduced SU in the posterior putamen in all 12 patients, which supports previous reports (8,9). However, no significant association was identified between SBR and clinical severity. Degeneration in the striatum, including the putamen, is reportedly associated





Figure 2. Brain <sup>123</sup>I-labeled  $2\beta$ -carbomethoxy- $3\beta$ -(4-iodophenyl)-N-(3-fluoro propyl) nortropane single photon emission computed tomography image of patient 9. Reduced striatal uptake is evident, predominantly in the posterior putamen (arrow).

with functional reductions in pre- and postsynaptic dopamine transport in patients with MSA-P (24). However, the results of <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT demonstrate a reduction in presynaptic function only (8). It is therefore unlikely that the clinical severity of patients with MSA-P can be accurately assessed using <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT alone. Reduced postsynaptic function may be correlated with PA; it has previously been reported that reductions in D2 receptors, as measured by raclopride positron emission tomography, are correlated with the clinical severity of MSA-P (25). Based on the present study and previous reports, it appears that presynaptic and postsynaptic functions both affect the clinical severity of patients with MSA-P. As such, evaluation of PA using MRI is essential for assessing the clinical severity of MSA-P.

The present study is not without limitations. Had a volume measurement tool been used as part of the MRI assessment in the present study, an exact, quantitative value for PA could have been obtained. Quantitative PA evaluation has been reported to correlate with the severity of Parkinsonism (16). Furthermore, the degree of pathological modification of the putamen has been reported to be associated with response to levodopa (21). Quantitative evaluation of PA may therefore be associated with the combined pre- and postsynaptic functions. Consequently, if the pre- and postsynaptic functions in PA (quantified using MRI) and the reduced presynaptic function (quantified using <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT) can be compared, the results may reveal the overall degree of pre- and postsynaptic function function reduction in individual patients with MSA-P. In addition, the effects of levodopa may be better predicted.

The present study was retrospective and the sample size was relatively small. However, this was unavoidable as MSA-P is a rare condition (26). The present study also utilizes a 1.5-T system, which is not optimal, as 3-T systems have been reported to be better for detecting HCB and HPR (27). Furthermore, no proton density-weighted imaging (PDWI) was used in conjunction with MRI in the present study. PDWI has been demonstrated to be useful in detecting HCB (28). At present, 3-T systems and PDWI are not included in the standard imaging protocol at Kochi Medical School. Future



Figure 3. Relationship between SBR and UMSARS IV score. No association was observed between SBR and UMSARS IV score in the SBR higher or SBR lower groups. SBR, specific binding ratios; UMSARS IV, Unified Multiple System Atrophy Rating Scale part IV.

studies should aim to utilize a 3-T system and PDWI in order to better identify HPR and HCB in patients with MSA-P.

In conclusion, the use of <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT does not appear to be more effective than MRI for evaluating the clinical severity of patients with MSA-P. Evaluating the presynaptic and postsynaptic dopamine function is essential in order to accurately assess the severity of MSA-P. As <sup>123</sup>I-FP-CIT SPECT is only able to assess presynaptic function, this type of imaging alone is insufficient. However, PA, as identified using MRI, is significantly associated with clinical severity in patients with MSA-P. The results of the present study suggest that PA values obtained using MRI are the most useful parameter for evaluating the clinical severity of patients with MSA-P.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Naoki Akagi and Naoya Hayashi at the Department of Radiology of Kochi Medical School, Kochi, Japan for their technical assistance.

#### Funding

No funding was received.

# Availability of data and materials

The analyzed data sets generated during the study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## **Authors' contributions**

MN and YM designed the study and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. HN contributed to analysis and interpretation of data and assisted in the preparation of the manuscript. All other authors contributed to data collection and interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by Ethical Review Board of Kochi Medical School (Kochi, Japan). Due to the retrospective nature of the present study, written informed consent was waived. A statement explaining that individuals who did not want to participate in the study could request to opt-out was posted on the bulletin board at Kochi Medical School.

# **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### References

- 1. Bhattacharya K, Saadia D, Eisenkraft B, Yahr M, Olanow W, Drayer B and Kaufmann H: Brain magnetic resonance imaging Drayer B and Raumann H. Bran magnetic resonance imaging in multiple-system atrophy and Parkinson disease: A diagnostic algorithm. Arch Neurol 59: 835-842, 2002.
   Naka H, Ohshita T, Murata Y, Imon Y, Mimori Y and Nakamura S: Characteristic MRI findings in multiple system attrophycocomparison of the three subtures. Neuroparison 44.
- atrophy: Comparison of the three subtypes. Neuroradiology 44: 204-209, 2002
- 3. Qiao PF, Shi F, Jiang MF, Gao Y and Niu GM: Application of high-field magnetic resonance imaging in Parkinson's disease. p Ther Med 13: 1665-1670, 2017.
- 4. Gilman S, Wenning GK, Low PA, Brooks DJ, Mathias CJ, Trojanowski JQ, Wood NW, Colosimo C, Dürr A, Fowler CJ, et al: Second consensus statement on the diagnosis of multiple system atrophy. Neurology 71: 670-676, 2008. 5. Watanabe H, Saito Y, Terao S, Ando T, Kachi T, Mukai E, Aiba I,
- Abe Y, Tamakoshi A, Doyu M, et al: Progression and prognosis in multiple system atrophy: An analysis of 230 Japanese patients. Brain 125: 1070-1083, 2002.
- Kraemmer J, Kovacs GG, Perju-Dumbrava L, Pirker S, Traub-Weidinger T and Pirker W: Correlation of striatal dopamine transporter imaging with post mortem substantia nigra cell counts. Mov Disord 29: 1767-1773, 2014.
  Piggott MA, Perry EK, Marshall EF, McKeith IG, Johnson M, Malroca HL, Court IA, Lloyd S, Fisher A, Disord P. 1996.
- Melrose HL, Court JA, Lloyd S, Fairbairn A, Brown A, et al: Nigrostriatal dopaminergic activities in dementia with Lewy bodies in relation to neuroleptic sensitivity: Comparisons with Parkinson's disease. Biol Psychiatry 44: 765-774, 1998.8. Kägi G, Bhatia KP and Tolosa E: The role of DAT-SPECT in
- movement disorders. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 81: 5-12, 2010.
- Booth TCNM, Nathan M, Waldman AD, Quigley AM, Schapira AH and Buscombe J: The role of functional dopamine-transporter SPECT imaging in parkinsonian syndromes, part 2. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 36: 236-244, 2015.
   Tossici-Bolt L, Hoffmann SM, Kemp PM, Mehta RL and Fleming JS: Quantification of [1231]FP-CIT SPECT brain
- images: An accurate technique for measurement of the specific binding ratio. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 33: 1491-1499, 2006.

- 11. Wenning GK, Ben Shlomo Y, Magalhães M, Daniel SE and Quinn NP: Clinical features and natural history of multiple system atrophy. An analysis of 100 cases. Brain 117: 835-845, 1994.
- 12. O'Sullivan SS, Massey LA, Williams DR, Silveira-Moriyama L, Kempster PA, Holton JL, Revesz T and Lees AJ: Clinical outcomes of progressive supranuclear palsy and multiple system atrophy. Brain 131: 1362-1372, 2008.
- Trouillas P, Takayanagi T, Hallett M, Currier RD, Subramony SH, Wessel K, Bryer A, Diener HC, Massaquoi S, Gomez CM, et al; The Ataxia Neuropharmacology Committee of the World Federation of Neurology: International Cooperative Ataxia Rating Scale for pharmacological assessment of the cerebellar syndrome. J Neurol Sci 145: 205-211, 1997
- Fahn, S and Elton R: Members of the UPDRS Development Committee (1987) The Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale. In: Fahn S, Marsden CD, Calne DB and Goldstein M (eds).
- Recent Developments in Parkinson's Disease, Vol. 2, McMellam Health Care Information, Florham Park, pp153-163, 1987.
  15. Wenning GK, Tison F, Seppi K, Sampaio C, Diem A, Yekhlef F, Ghorayeb I, Ory F, Galitzky M, Scaravilli T, *et al*; Multiple System Atrophy Study Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and Validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and Validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and Validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and Validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and Validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group: Development and Validation of the United System Atrophy Budy Group System Atrophy Budy Unified Multiple System Atrophy Rating Scale (UMSARS). Mov Disord 19: 1391-1402, 2004.
- 16. Wakai M, Kume A, Takahashi A, Ando T and Hashizume Y: A study of parkinsonism in multiple system atrophy: Clinical and MRI correlation. Acta Neurol Scand 90: 225-231, 1994.
- Shimosegawa E, Fujino K, Kato H and Hatazawa J: Quantitative CBF measurement using an integrated SPECT/CT system: Validation of three-dimensional ordered-subset expectation maximization and CT-based attenuation correction by comparing with O-15 water PET. Ann Nucl Med 27: 822-833, 2013.
- 18. Ichihara T, Ogawa K, Motomura N, Kubo A and Hashimoto S: Compton scatter compensation using the triple-energy window method for single- and dual-isotope SPECT. J Nucl Med 34: 2216-2221, 1993.
- 19. Ito S, Shirai W and Hattori T: Evaluating posterolateral linear-ization of the putaminal margin with magnetic resonance imaging to diagnose the Parkinson variant of multiple system atrophy. Mov Disord 22: 578-581, 2007.
- Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for medical statistics. Bone Marrow Transplant 48: 452-458, 2013.
   Ozawa T, Paviour D, Quinn NP, Josephs KA, Sangha H, Kilford L, Healy DG, Wood NW, Lees AJ, Holton JL, et al: The spectrum of pethological involvement of the strainpirgul and alignmenteent
- pathological involvement of the striatonigral and olivopontocerebellar systems in multiple system atrophy: Clinicopathological correlations. Brain 127: 2657-2671, 2004.
- 22. Papp MI, Kahn JE and Lantos PL: Glial cytoplasmic inclusions in the CNS of patients with multiple system atrophy (striatonigral degeneration, olivopontocerebellar atrophy and Shy-Drager syndrome). J Neurol Sci 94: 79-100, 1989.
- 23. Seppi K, Schocke MF, Mair KJ, Esterhammer R, Scherfler C, Geser F, Kremser C, Boesch S, Jaschke W, Poewe W, *et al*: Progression of putaminal degeneration in multiple system atrophy: A serial diffusion MR study. Neuroimage 31: 240-245, 2006. 24. Hashimoto M, Kawasaki K, Suzuki M, Mitani K, Murayama S,
- Mishina M, Oda K, Kimura Y, Ishiwata K, Ishii K, et al: Presynaptic and postsynaptic nigrostriatal dopaminergic functions in multiple system atrophy. Neuroreport 19: 145-150, 2008
- 25. Antonini A, Leenders KL, Vontobel P, Maguire RP, Missimer J, Psylla M and Günther I: Complementary PET studies of striatal neuronal function in the differential diagnosis between multiple system atrophy and Parkinson's disease. Brain 120: 2187-2195, 1997.
- 26. Schrag A, Ben-Shlomo Y and Quinn NP: Prevalence of progressive supranuclear palsy and multiple system atrophy: A cross-sectional study. Lancet 354: 1771-1775, 1999.
- 27. Baronica KB, Ivkić G, Ozretić D and Milicević G: Differential diagnostic relevance of high resolution magnetic resonance in aginostic relevance on ingir resolution magnetic resonance in patients with possible multiple system atrophy (MSA) - A case report. Coll Antropol 35 (Suppl 1): 287-292, 2011.
  28. Kasahara S, Miki Y, Kanagaki M, Kondo T, Yamamoto A, Morimoto E, Okada T, Ito H, Takahashi R and Togashi K: "Hot here the set of the
- cross bun" sign in multiple system atrophy with predominant cerebellar ataxia: A comparison between proton density-weighted imaging and T2-weighted imaging. Eur J Radiol 81: 2848-2852, 2012.