

Loss of heterozygosity in *FANCG*, *FANCF* and *BRIP1* from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity

CHRISTIN TÜRKE^{1*}, SUSANNE HORN^{2*}, CAROLA PETTO¹, DIRK LABUDDE³, GÜNTER LAUER¹ and GRETEL WITTENBURG¹

¹Department for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden; ²Department of Dermatology, University Hospital, West German Cancer Center, University Duisburg-Essen, and German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research (DKTK), Essen; ³Department of Bioinformatics, University of Applied Sciences Mittweida, Mittweida, Germany

Received August 19, 2016; Accepted February 28, 2017

DOI: 10.3892/ijo.2017.3974

Abstract. Recent advances have been made in the understanding of Fanconi anemia (FA), a hereditary disease that increases the risk for head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC) by 500- to 700-fold. FA patients harbour germline mutations in genes of cellular DNA repair pathways that are assumed to facilitate the accumulation of mutations during HNSCC development. Mutations in these FA genes may also contribute to HNSCC in general. In the present study, we analysed three FA genes; FANCF, FANCG and BRIP1, that are involved in the repair of DNA inter strand cross-links, in HNSCC and their potential role for patient survival. We measured loss of heterozygosity (LOH) mutations at eight microsatellite loci flanking three FA genes in 54 HNSCC of the oral cavity and corresponding blood samples. Survival analyses were carried out using mutational data and clinical variables. LOH was present in 17% (FANCF region), 41% (FANCG region) and 11% (BRIP1 region) of the patients. Kaplan-Meier survival curves and log-rank tests indicated strong clinical predictors (lymph node stages with decreased survival: P=2.69e-12; surgery with improved survival: P=0.0005). LOH in the FANCF region showed a weaker

E-mail: mkg-chirurgie@uniklinikum-dresden.de

*Contributed equally

Abbreviations: SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; LOH, loss of heterozygosity; FA, Fanconi anemia; HPV, human papilloma virus; HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinomas; PARP, polyADP-ribose polymerase; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; CNV, copy number variants; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinomas; PH, proportional hazards; MSI, microsatellite instability

Key words: squamous cell carcinoma, Fanconi anemia, survival, loss of heterozygosity, microsatellite

association with decreased overall survival (P=0.006), which however, did not hold in multivariate analyses. LOH may predominantly indicate copy number gains in *FANCF* and losses in *FANCG* and *BRIP1*. Integration of copy number data and gene expression proved difficult as the available sample sets did not overlap. In conclusion, LOH in FA genes appears to be a common feature of HNSCC development seen here in 57% of patients and other mutation types may increase this mutation frequency. We suggest larger patient cohorts would be needed to test the observed association of LOH in *FANCF* and patient survival comprehensively.

Introduction

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the most frequent tumour entity within head and neck cancers occurring in 90% of patients (1,2) and the 6th most frequent cancer worldwide (3,4). Despite advances in therapy, the prognosis for HNSCC patients remains poor, with a 5-year survival of 46-50% (5,6). As exogenous factors, alcohol consumption and smoking are assumed to cause lesions and HNSCC in a dose-dependent manner (7-9). An infection of human papilloma virus (HPV) is present in ~25% of HNSCC and is associated with an improved prognosis (10,11). Also, endogenous risk factors, such as genetic predisposition may promote hereditary HNSCC (12,13).

The acquisition of somatic mutations during HNSCC development is well understood for the tumour suppressor gene TP53, which is mutated frequently in 30-78% of HNSCCs (14-19). TP53 mutations are associated with a reduced radiosensitivity of HNSCC tumours and with poor prognosis (20). Other potentially important genes in HNSCC are the genes known to be mutated in Fanconi anemia (FA). Patients with this rare autosomal-recessive disorder have a 500- to 700-fold increased risk to develop HNSCC (21-23) and suffer from chromosomal instability, predisposition to congenital anomalies, bone marrow failure and cancer.

FA genes are candidate genes for HNSCC. Sixteen FA genes are currently known, the gene products are involved in the surveillance and repair of DNA crosslinks (24). A recent study found germline mutations of FA genes in 27 analysed FA

Correspondence to: Dr Gretel Wittenburg, Department for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Fetscherstrasse 74, D-01307 Dresden, Germany



Figure 1. Location of three Fanconi anemia gene regions on chromosome 9, 11 and 17. Three microsatellite markers span the regions of FANCG and FANCF and two markers are located adjacent to BRIP1.

families (25). All FA genes are active in the S-phase of the cell cycle, where DNA damage recognized during DNA replication triggers the accumulation of FA proteins and their interaction with other repair mechanisms. In the present study, we focused on three FA genes that have not been studied extensively, the *FANCF*, *FANCG* and *BRIP1*.

Expression analyses using quantitative PCR showed reduced expression of all three genes, *FANCF*, *FANCG* and *BRIP1* in HNSCC, with a reduction of gene expression by up to 40-fold (26). A possible cause for the reduced expression of FA genes is an allelic gene loss that can be detected as a loss of heterozygosity (LOH). Measurements of LOH have previously indicated FA genes frequently altered in oral HNSCC (27-31). A frequent LOH on chromosome 9 for instance, is potentially overlapping with many genes such as tumour suppressors p16 and *FANCG* (32).

Clinical relevance. LOH in FA genes may impact the prognosis for HNSCC patients under different treatment regimens. It was shown that poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors can be used to kill specifically *FANCD1* (*BRCA2*)-deficient tumour cells (33). Recently, the assessment of FA genes, such as *FANCD2* was proposed to aid patient stratification for treatment with DNA inter-strand crosslinking agents and PARP inhibitors (34). Thus, FA genes may be used as predictive biomarkers in cancer therapy.

The three FA genes studied here. Fanconi anemia, complementation group F - *FANCF* is localized on chromosome 11p14.3 and involved in stabilization of multimeric FA protein

complexes (35,36). The protein, Fancf interacts with Fanca, Fancc and Fancg to stabilize the dimers of Fanca and Fancc as well as Fanca and Fancg which are core components of the FA DNA repair pathway (24,37). Methylation of *FANCF* is associated with a decreased expression of the gene and with ovary, breast, lung, cervix and testis cancer (38-42).

Fanconi anemia, complementation group G - FANCG (XRCC9) is localized on chromosome 9p13.3 (43). Fancg interacts with Fanca and Fancf and supports the formation of the DNA repair core complex (44). Mutations in FANCG lead to chromosomal instability in a number of different cancers (45).

The *BRCA1* interacting protein C-terminal helicase 1 -*BRIP1* (*FANCJ*) is localized on chromosome 17q22.2 (46-48). *BRIP1* associates with *BRCA1* and thus, contributes to genomic stability through its role in cellular DNA repair (48,49). Mutations in *BRIP1* are associated with hereditary breast cancer and Fanconi anemia (50).

In this study, we established microsatellite markers for the assessment of LOH in three genetic loci comprising FA genes. To identify a potential effect on patient survival in oral HNSCC, we evaluated LOH in FA genes and clinical parameters in survival analyses. We then discuss an observed weak association of LOH in one of the three FA genes and considerable frequencies of LOH in all three genes in light of potential therapeutic relevance.

Materials and methods

Samples. All patients were enrolled for observation and treatment of HNSCC between 1993 and 2007 at the Department



Table I.	Clinical	data for	patients	evaluated	for los	s of hetero	ozygosity	(LOH)	in FA	gene regior	۱S.
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Variables	No LOH (n=23)	LOH <i>FANCF</i> region (n=9)	LOH FANCG region (n=22)	LOH <i>BRIP1</i> region (n=6)	Any LOH (n=30)	All (n=54)
Age (median, 1st and 3rd quartile)	59 (46;66.50)	55 (53;68)	59.5 (52.25;66)	57 (51.25;62.75)	60 (53.5;66)	60 (52;66.75)
Gender						
0-Female	6 (26.09%)	2 (22.22%)	4 (18.18%)	2 (33.33%)	7 (23.33%)	14 (25.93%)
1-Male	17 (73.91%)	7 (77.78%)	18 (81.81%)	4 (66,67%)	23 (76.67%)	40 (74.07%)
Tumour stage						
2	2 (8.7%)	1 (11.11%)	2 (9.09%)	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	5 (9.25%)
3	2 (8.7%)	2 (22.22%)	6 (27.27%)	1 (16.67%)	8 (26.67%)	10 (18.52%)
4	19 (82.61%)	6 (66.67%)	14 (63.63%)	5 (83.33%)	19 (63.33%)	39 (72.22%)
Node stage						
0	12 (52.17%)	1 (11.11%)	5 (22.72%)	2 (33.33%)	6 (20%)	19 (35.19%)
1	1 (4.35%)	2 (22.22%)	5 (22.72%)	2 (33.33%)	9 (30%)	10 (18.52%)
2	8 (34.78%)	6 (66.67%)	11 (50%)	2 (33.33%)	14 (46.67%)	22 (40.74%)
3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4.55%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.33%)	1 (1.85%)
Metastasis stage						
0	19 (82.61%)	8 (88.89%)	22 (100%)	6 (100%)	29 (96.67%)	49 (90.74%)
1	1 (4.35%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.85%)
Tumour grading						
1	1 (4.35%)	0 (0%)	2 (9.09%)	0 (0%)	2 (6.67%)	3 (5.56%)
2	13 (56.52%)	4 (44.44%)	14 (63.63%)	5 (83.33%)	20 (66.67%)	34 (62.96%)
3	3 (13.04%)	3 (33.33%)	4 (18.18%)	0 (0%)	5 (16.67%)	8 (14.81%)
Recurrence						
0	15 (65.22%)	5 (55.56%)	14 (63.64%)	4 (66.67%)	20 (66.67%)	35 (64.81%)
1	8 (34.78%)	4 (44.44%)	8 (36.36%)	2 (33.33%)	10 (33.33%)	19 (35.19%)
Surgery	21 (91.30%)	7 (77.78%)	18 (81.82%)	6 (100%)	26 (86.67%)	48 (88.89%)
Radiotherapy	21 (91.30%)	9 (100%)	20 (90.90%)	6 (100%)	28 (93.33%)	49 (90.74%)
Chemotherapy	8 (34.78%)	4 (44.44%)	5 (22.73%)	1 (16.67%)	7 (23.33%)	15 (27.78%)
Nicotin/Alcohol	18 (78.26%)	7 (77.78%)	19 (86.36%)	3 (50%)	25 (83.33%)	43 (79.63%)
Survival (months, median, 1st and 3rd Qu.)	21.33 (13.61; 73.77)	10.30 (7.53; 22.13)	20.40 (8.30; 98.21)	40.73 (16.10; 73.72)	19.48 (7.80; 78.31)	20.60 (11.30; 78.80)

of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden (Dresden, Germany). Fifty-four patients, 40 male and 14 female, with a median age of 60 years were included in the study (Table I). We collected clinical information about the tumour-, node- and metastatic stage as well the radiotherapy and chemotherapy used. To study the effects of lifestyle factors we obtained data on smoking and alcohol consumption for each patient.

Tumour specimens were initially chosen to represent primary HNSCC tumours of the locally advanced stages T3 and T4 (51) and were collected in surgeries and biopsies. Five tumour samples were later re-assigned to stage T2. Tumour and corresponding blood samples were collected during the time of surgery or biopsy, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. *Ethics statement*. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty for Medicine at the Technische Universität Dresden, Germany (study ID EK37022001).

DNA extraction, microsatellite amplification and detection of LOH. DNA extraction from tumour samples and corresponding blood was performed with the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following standard procedures. Eight microsatellite markers were used to determine heterozygosity and microsatellite instability (MSI) using their polymorphic repeat length. We used eight pairs of oligonucleotide primers (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) which were previously published (Table II) in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify loci in proximity to three FA genes (Fig. 1) (43,44,52-59). Six loci were repeats of dinucleotides (D9S1853, D9S171, D9S163, D11S1359, D17S1855 and D17S1607) and two

Primer	Sequence	Label	Product size (bp)	Refs.
D9S171 s	AGCTAAGTGAACCTCATCTCTGTCT	VTC	158-177	(52-54)
D9S1853 s D9S1853 as	GATCCAGCCTCACTGAA TTGGGCATAGAATTTTTACTTT	6-FAM	247-265	(44,52)
D9S163 s D9S163 as	TGCTGCACATCTTAGGGAGT ACAGCGCTCAGAAATCATATAA	NED	270-271	(52,55)
D11S1359 s D11S1359 as	TTGGAAGACACATGCACAAA ATTTTCCAGCCTCCATAATC	NED	148	(43)
D11S1981 s D11S1981 as	AATTCCTTTACTCCAGAAAGG CAGATTTCTGCTTTCCCAGA	VTC	134-178	(56)
D11S1392 s D11S1392 as	TTGCATCCATACGGAAAGTC ACATCTGAGACTTGTAGTAGAAGGC	6-FAM	200-220	(57)
D17S1607 s D17S1607 as	CAGATAAAAAACACAAGTTTCTGAC GCTCCACCCCAGACCTA	NED	103-123	(58)
D17S1855 s D17S1855 as	GGGGACCNCTAGAAACC GAGAATACATTGTAACAACTCCAGT	PET	219-225	(52,58)
Label, fluorescent la	beling of the forward primers; S, sense; As, antisense.			

Table II. Primers	used for an	plification of	of microsatellit	e markers.

Table III. Combinations of primers and concentrations for multiplex PCR.

Combination	Primer name	Primer concentration	MgCl ₂ concentration
1	D9S171 D9S163 D17S1607	0.20 μM 0.15 μM 0.30 μM	1.8 mM
2	D11S1392 D11S1359	0.20 μM 0.20 μM	1.5 mM
3	D17S1855 D11S1981	0.20 μM 0.20 μM	1.5 mM
4	D9S1853	0.20 µM	1.5 mM

were repeats of tetranucleotides (D11S1392 and D11S1981). We established three multiplex PCR reactions and one singleplex PCR. The reactions contained primers, MgCl₂ (concentrations given in Table III), and 100 ng of template DNA in the Qiagen Multiplex PCR kit (Qiagen) and were run in a total reaction volume of 25 μ l on a PCR cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, München, Germany). After an initial heating step at 95°C for 15 min, PCR cycling was carried out at 95°C for 35 sec, 56°C for 90 sec and 72°C for 90 sec for 27 cycles. Fluorescent labelling of one primer each per pair was utilized to detect the amplification products in capillary gel electrophoresis using a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer, the GeneScan 500 LIZ size standard and software GeneMapper version 4.0 (all Applied Biosystems). Larger microsatellite markers may show LOH more frequently than shorter markers, because they amplify less well in PCR. To avoid this problem we used a high amount of input DNA (100 ng). Consequently, the larger microsatellite markers showed a lower frequency of LOH for *FANCF* and *FANCG* and a higher frequency of LOH for *BRIP1* when compared to the smaller markers in the same locus (Table IV). When comparing the two alleles of each microsatellite marker, the LOH affected the larger allele in 33% of the markers (standard deviation 16.6). Thus, a preferential amplification of the smaller microsatellite markers is not apparent in our samples.

To detect LOH all informative microsatellite markers were analysed for their peak area (60-62). The peak area of the higher peak was divided by the area of the lower peak, and a quotient of the values for tumour and blood was calculated. When above 1.5, we called LOH in the tumour tissue as previously described (62). Non-informative and unstable (MSI) markers were excluded.

Statistical analysis of clinical data. Clinical data were obtained from the regional clinic cancer registry Dresden (Table I). To investigate if LOH was more frequent in subgroups of the patients we tested for an association of LOH with age (above vs. below 60 years), gender, tumour stages (T2, T3 and T4), smoking, alcohol consumption and recurrent disease (Table I). For this we used Chi-square tests and Fisher's exact tests if expected frequencies were <5 using IBM SPSS Statistics version 19 (IBM, Ehningen, Germany).

We further investigated the potential impact of LOH on patient survival R v. 3.0. (63). Survival time was obtained and right censored for alive subjects and also if death occurred not due to HNSCC. First, we tested for an association between



Table IV Loss	of heterozyg	sity (LOH) i	in FA gene	regions
14010 I V. L035	of neterolyge	Jony (LOII)	in i ri gone	regions.

		FANCG			FANCF		BRII	P]
Tumour no.	D9S171 158-177 bp	D9S1853 247-265 bp	D9S163 270-271 bp	D11S1981 134-178 bp	D11S1359 148 bp	D11S1392 200-220 bp	D17S1607 103-123 bp	D17S1855 219-225 bp
2	LOH	LOH	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
3	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
7	LOH	n.i.	LOH	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.
14	i.	i.	i.	LOH	LOH	i.	LOH	n.i.
20	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
23	i.	LOH	i.	i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.
26	LOH	n.i.	n.i.	LOH	LOH	LOH	i.	i.
27	i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	n.i.	n.i.	i.
30	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
37	i.	i.	n.i.	n.i.	n.i.	n.i.	i.	i.
40	i.	i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	n.i.	i.
48	ni	LOH	i	i	i	i	i	i
53	LOH	LOH	LOH	i	i	i	n i	i
60	i	i	ni	i.	i.	i.	n i	LOH
101	1. i	1. i	i	i.	1. i	1. i	i	i
107	1. i	1. i	1. i	1. i	I.OH	I. I OH	1. i	1. n i
107	1. i	1. n i	1. n i	1. i	i	i	1. ;	n.i.
114		11.1. n i		1. ni		1. n i		11.1. ;
110	LUH	11.1. ;	LOH	11.1. :	LOH	11.1. ;	LOH	1.
117		1.	11.1. 	1.	II.I.	1. :	II.I. 	1. :
118	LOH	n.1.	n.i.	1.	n.1.	n.1.	n.1.	1.
120	1.	1.	n.1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.
121	n.1.	1. L OLL	1.	n.1.	n.1	1.	1.	1.
123	LOH	LOH	1.	n.1.	1.	1.	1.	1.
144	LOH	LOH	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.
145	i.	n.i.	LOH	1.	n.i.	i.	i.	n.i.
150	LOH	LOH	LOH	i.	i.	MSI	i.	n.i.
152	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	n.i.
154	LOH	LOH	LOH	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
155	n.i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	n.i.	n.i.	LOH
157	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.
171	i.	n.i.	i.	LOH	LOH	LOH	i.	i.
179	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.
180	n.i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	n.i.	LOH
181	i.	n.i.	n.i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.
185	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
193	n.i.	i.	i	n.i.	n.i.	LOH	i.	i.
196	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
206	i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.
213	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	n.i.
325	LOH	LOH	LOH	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.
326	LOH	n.i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
328	LOH	n.i.	LOH	i.	n.i.	n.i.	i.	i.
336	i.	i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.
385	LOH	i.	LOH	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
386	n.i.	LOH	LOH	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.
393	n.i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	LOH	i.	i.
401	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
409	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
457	i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.

	FANCG				FANCF	BRIP1		
Tumour no.	D9S171 158-177 bp	D9S1853 247-265 bp	D9S163 270-271 bp	D11S1981 134-178 bp	D11S1359 148 bp	D11S1392 200-220 bp	D17S1607 103-123 bp	D17S1855 219-225 bp
458	n.i.	LOH	n.i.	n.i.	n.i.	LOH	n.i.	i.
474	LOH	LOH	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.
477	n.i.	n.i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	n.i.	i.
478	LOH	n.i.	LOH	i.	i.	i.	LOH	n.i.
479	LOH	LOH	LOH	LOH	LOH	n.i.	i.	MSI
Σ i.	42/54	37/54	37/54	46/54%	41/54	44/54	42/54	46/54
	77,78%	68,52%	68,52%	85,19	75,93%	81,48%	77,78%	85,19%
ΣLOH	17/42	13/37	12/37	4/46	6/41	6/44	3/42	3/46
_	40,48%	35,14%	32,43%	8,69%	14,63%	13,64%	7,14%	6,52%

Table IV. Continued.

Length of amplicons is given for each microsatellite marker. N.i., not informative; I, informative; MSI, microsatellite instability.

LOH in regions around the FA genes, the mentioned clinical parameters and overall patient survival using Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank tests (Table V). We reported raw P-values using a significance level alpha of 0.05 as well as corrected significance levels (alpha') depending on the number of tests carried out according to the Bonferroni method (64).

To investigate the combined effect of several variables on patient survival we employed Cox proportional hazards (PH) regression models in R v. 3.0. (63). We estimated the proportional hazards for different sets of variables on survival, firstly using all variables in one Cox PH model. Secondly, we tested all variables which were significant in the first model. A third test used backwards elimination, starting with all and deleting the least significant variable at each step until reaching a stage where all remaining variables were significant. Since smoking and alcohol consumption coincided in many patients and both are seen as mutagenic substances we merged them into one binary variable (absence/presence) for survival analyses. For analyses of LOH and survival in Cox PH we evaluated each FA gene region independently. However, we did not evaluate each microsatellite marker independently since those showed similar results as the corresponding FA gene regions in log-rank tests.

Analysis of copy number data. From a published dataset of 106 HNSCC genotyped on microarrays (18) we extracted called copy number variants (CNV) that spanned the genes *FANCF*, *FANCG* and *BRIP1*.

If the reported ploidy deviated from 2 and was <1.8 or >2.2, we noted a loss or a gain, respectively.

Expression data. We accessed publicly available expression profiles of three studies on HNSCC tumours and corresponding normal tissues in NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus. For accessions GDS2520 and GDS3838 we queried the genes of interest directly retrieving lists of expression values. Fold-change in expression was calculated by comparing the cancerous and normal tissue per each tested patient. For GSE55550 we queried for differentially expressed genes by grouping (pooled)

Table V. P-values from log-rank tests comparing survival in HNSCC patients related to clinical variables and LOH at FA gene regions.

Test		All patient	S	Patients with tumour stage T4		
no.	Variables	P-value	n	P-value	n	
1	Gender	0.495	53	0.819	39	
2	Tumour stage	0.636	53			
3	Node stage	2.69e-12	51	2.58e-09	37	
4	Metastasis stage	0.662	49	0.868	36	
5	Tumour grading	0.0418	44	0.108	32	
6	Surgery	0.000466	53	0.00368	39	
7	Radiotherapy	0.246	53	0.197	39	
8	Chemotherapy	0.0742	53	0.133	39	
9	Nicotin/Alcohol	0.24	53	0.434	39	
10	D11S1981	0.19	45	0.286	34	
11	D11S1359	0.0248	40	0.0617	32	
12	D11S1392	0.0326	42	0.00254	31	
13	FANCF region	0.00617	52	0.00595	38	
14	D9S171	0.344	41	0.902	31	
15	D9S1853	0.901	36	0.309	27	
16	D9S163	0.438	35	0.573	26	
17	FANCG region	0.682	53	0.452	39	
18	D17S1607	0.983	41	0.876	31	
19	D17S1855	0.768	44	0.586	30	
20	BRIP1 region	0.762	53	0.537	39	
21	>1 FA gene region	0.6	53	0.483	39	

Bold indicates significance for raw P-values. Italics indicates P-values significant after correcting for multiple tests (alpha'=0.0024 for 21 tests and alpha'=0.0038 for 13 tests omitting the individual microsatellite markers).

Table VI. P-values from Cox proportional hazards models.

		One Cox PH model with all variables			One Cox PH model with significance in log-rank tests of Kaplan-Meier analysis			Cox PH model with best likelihood ratio, Wald and Score tests		
No.	Variables	P-value	LCI	UCI	P-value	LCI	UCI	P-value	LCI	UCI
1	Gender	0.88885	0.206970	39.176						
2	Tumour stage	0.57460	0.509899	33.673						
3	Node stage	0.34330	0.732887	24.415	0.1584	0.87206	23.155	0.02539	107.130	28.550
4	Metastasis stage	0.21420	0.013535	26.236				0.12550	0.01837	16.319
5	Tumour grading	0.10808	0.084520	12.771	0.0469	0.09622	0.9838	0.01890	0.06185	0.7785
6	Surgery	0.00298	0.006957	0.3615	0.0139	0.09975	0.7709	0.00265	0.04769	0.5267
7	Radiotherapy	0.97083	0.155178	69.173						
8	Chemotherapy	0.53866	0.080760	37.230						
9	Nicotin/Alcohol	0.07382	0.040165	11.592						
10	FANCF region	0.10518	0.631867	1262.340	0.1723	0.74051	53.587			
11	FANCG region	0.37524	0.393851	118.390						
12	BRIP1 region	0.86562	0.187164	73.337						
13	>1 FA gene region	0.09701	0.001591	17.071						
14	Age	0.54531	0.919269	10.455						
Test	statistics:									
	n		40			42			41	
	Events		27			28			27	
	Rsquare		0.509			0.335			0.432	
	Likelihood ratio test	28.42	on 14 df, P=	0.01249	17.15 o	n 4 df, P=0	.001806	23.19 on	4 df, P=0.0	0001162
	Wald test	24.09	on 14 df, P=	0.04469	17.48 o	n 4 df, P=0	.001561	22.93 on	4 df, P=0.0	001308
	Score (log-rank) test	35.05 o	on 14 df, P=0	0.001444	20.63 от	n 4 df, P=0.	.0003744	30.97 c	on 4 df, P=3	.1e-06

Bold indicates significance for raw P-values. Italics indicates P-values significant after correcting for multiple tests (testing three models, alpha'=0.0167). LCI, lower confidence interval (95%). UCI, upper confidence interval (95%).

normal tissues and tumours. Then we extracted array probes corresponding to our genes of interest from the resulting gene lists and retrieved the fold change in expression when the raw P-value was <0.05.

Differential gene expression analysis using TCGA data. We queried the Cancer Genome Atlas data for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma comprising 521 samples with RNAseq data (queried in November 2016) using the TCGA Browser v0.9 at http://tcgabrowser.ethz.ch:3839/TEST/ (65). The top 200 differentially expressed genes for FANCG, FANCF and BRIP1 were subjected to the gene set enrichment analysis (66). We computed overlaps with hallmarks gene sets and with gene sets of known molecular function.

In order to identify protein partners that interact physically with *FANCG*, *FANCF* and *BRIP1*, a network analysis was performed using GeneMANIA (67).

Results

Detection of LOH. The information content of the microsatellite markers was high with 68-85% of informative patients per marker, in 53 of 54 patients at least one microsatellite marker was informative (Table IV). Patient 37 had to be excluded from the analysis of *FANCF* since none of the markers was informative here. We detected LOH in 30 of 53 (57%) patients (Table IV), 23 patients had at least one informative marker but did not show LOH.

LOH was detected most frequently in the gene region containing *FANCG* in 40.74% (22/54) of HNSCCs. The gene region of *FANCF* showed LOH in 16.98% (9/53) and the *BRIP1* region was affected in 11.11% (6/54) of HNSCC. Patient 116 showed LOH in all three FA gene regions. Patients 26, 458 and 479 showed LOH in *FANCG* and *FANCF* regions. Patient 478 showed LOH in *FANCG* and *BRIP1* and patient 14 in *FANCF* and *BRIP1* regions.

Association analysis. We tested if the frequency of LOH was associated with age (either below or above 60 years), gender, tumour stages (T2, T3 and T4), node stage, metastasis stage, histological tumour grading, smoking or alcohol consumption and recurrent disease. None of these variables was significantly associated with an increased or decreased frequency of LOH at the FA gene regions, when combining



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Curves shown for (A) node stages 0 to 3, (B) surgery; 0, no and 1, yes, (C) LOH in the *FANCF* region and (D) tumour grading of 1 to 3 (see Table I).

INICOC

Table VII. LOH, CNV	and expression of FAIVCF, FAIVCG and BRIPT in HINSCC.	

Gene	LOH	CNV (18)			Expression		
	This study	Sum	Gain	Loss	22 HNSCC,	139 HNSCC,	17 ESCC,
					22 normal tissues,	16 normal tissues,	17 normal tissues,
					dataset GDS2520 (65)	dataset GSE55550	dataset GDS3838 (66)
FANCF	16.98%	20%	16.2%	3.8%	NA	Underexpressed	Underexpressed in14/17
FANCG	40.74%	35.2%	6.7%	28.6%	Overexpressed in 17/22	Overexpressed	Overexpressed in 14/17
BRIP1	11.11%	35.7%	4.1%	31.6%	NA	Overexpressed	Overexpressed in 15/17

LOH in HNSCC measured here and data on CNV previously published match roughly for *FANCF* and *FANCG*. *BRIP1* shows LOH less often. LOH may predominantly indicate copy number gains in *FANCF* and losses in *FANCG* and *BRIP1*. Expression data indicate underexpression of *FANCF* and overexpression of *FANCG* and *BRIP1* in HNSCC. NA, not available.

several microsatellite markers per region (Fisher's exact tests and Chi-square tests, data not shown). Individual microsatellite markers were, moreover, not associated with any factor except for D17S1607 (in the *BRIP1* region) which was associated with smoking or alcohol consumption (P=0.029). However, this association was not significant when corrected for multiple testing (alpha' of 0.006) and

LOH overall was more frequent in patients without smoking or alcohol consumption.

Survival analysis. We tested for an association of the LOH in regions of FA genes and overall patient survival using Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank tests (Table V). Higher lymph node stages were most significantly associated with





Figure 3. Physical interaction network. FANCF, FANCG and 5 other genes (FANCE, C19Orf40, FANCC, FANCL and FANCA) are members of the Fanconi anemia nuclear complex (solid circles). BRIP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BLM and PALB2 are involved in double-strand break repair (dotted circles). Physical interactions are indicated by lines.

decreased survival (P=2.69e-12) and surgery was most significantly associated with improved survival (P=0.0004; Fig. 2). Node stage and surgery were significant also when corrected for multiple testing (21 variables: alpha'=0.0024 or 13 variables: alpha'=0.0038, see Table V). LOH in the FANCF region was associated with decreased survival (P=0.006; Fig. 2 and Table I) and higher tumour grading was associated with increased survival (P=0.0418; Fig. 2). However, these associations were not significant when corrected for multiple testing. LOH in FANCG and BRIP1 regions were not significantly associated with survival, accordingly LOH in more than one FA gene region was also not significantly associated with survival. Stratification for patients with tumour stage T4 showed similar associations. Only node stage remained significantly associated after correcting for multiple testing (Table V). We did not stratify for T3 and T2 patients since they were too few (≤ 10).

To increase our understanding of LOH in FA gene regions and survival in the context of clinical covariates we employed Cox PH regression models (Table VI). We evaluated three different Cox PH models: firstly, a model with all variables, secondly one with only those variables which were significant in the previous log-rank tests. We also used backwards elimination of variables to obtain the third Cox PH model with the most significant likelihood ratio test, Wald test and score (logrank) test. Applying a raw significance level of alpha=0.05, surgery was always significantly associated with survival (P-values between 0.003 and 0.014) and tumour grading was significantly associated with survival when fewer variables were in the model (P-values between 0.047 and 0.019). Node stage was significantly associated only in the Cox PH model with best likelihood ratio, Wald and score tests (P=0.025). LOH in FA gene regions were not significantly associated with survival in the Cox PH models. Moreover, age, gender, tumour stage, metastatic stage, radiotherapy, chemotherapy and smoking or alcohol consumption were not significantly associated with survival. When correcting for multiple testing, applying an alpha' of 0.0167 (due to the three Cox PH models evaluated), only surgery showed a significant association with survival, most prominently in the Cox PH model with the best test statistics.

We did not explore associations of survival and MSI, because of a very small sample for MSI in only two patients.

Analysis of copy number data. To analyse if LOH in FANCF, FANCG and BRIP1 may be associated with amplifications or deletions, we checked if published copy number data of HNSCC (18) showed gains or losses of these genes (Table VII). Twenty prercent of HNSCC samples showed copy number variants (CNV) spanning FANCF; 3.8% (4/105) were losses and 16.2% (17/105) were gains. FANCG was lost in 28.6% (30/105) and gained in 6.7% (7/105), in sum it was affected in 35.2% of samples. BRIP1 was lost in 31.6% (31/98) and gained in 4.1% (4/98), in sum affected in 35.7%. For comparison, TP53 showed losses in 47% (49/103) of the samples and did not show gains.

Our frequencies of LOH correspond to the frequencies of copy number variants (CNV) recently published in a genome wide screen of HNSCC for *FANCF* (17% LOH, 20% CNV) and *FANCG* (41% LOH, 35% CNV) (18). The estimates depart for *BRIP1* (11% LOH, 36% CNV) possibly reflecting differences between the sample sets. However, at least for *FANCF* and *FANCG* we think that our measurement of LOH approximate the measurement of CNV in array based methods.

Analysis of expression data. One dataset, GDS2520, comprised 22 pairs of HNSCC corresponding normal tissues (68). Here, *FANCG* was overexpressed in 17 HNSCC samples when compared to the corresponding normal tissue. The highest change in expression was 1.6-fold. Data for *FANCF* and *BRIP1* were not available in this dataset (Table VII).

The second dataset (GSE55550, no publication available) contained gene expression profiles of 139 HNSCC and 16 normal samples. For all of our three genes of interest, there was an array probe that showed significant differential gene expression (raw P-value<0.05). Here, *FANCF* was underexpressed in HNSCC compared to normal tissues and *FANCG* and *BRIP1* were overexpressed.

A third dataset (GDS3838) compared 17 esophageal squamous cell carcinomas (ESCC) to corresponding normal tissues (69). *FANCF* was underexpressed in 14 of 17 samples. *FANCG* and *BRIP1* were overexpressed in 14 of 17 and 15 of 17 samples, respectively. The few samples that showed the opposite pattern (overexpression of *FANCF* and underexpression of *FANCG* or *BRIP1*), did not overlap.

Differential gene expression analysis using TCGA data. In order to identify cellular signaling pathways that are affected by mutations in *FANCG*, *FANCF* and *BRIP1* we performed a differential gene expression analysis of the TCGA head and neck squamous cell carcinoma samples (n=521) with subsequent gene set enrichment to identify affected pathways.

The gene set enrichment analysis for *FANCG* revealed a significant overlap with genes that perform transfers of ubiquitin modifications (FDR q-value 0.00005 genes such as UBE2R2 and UBE2W), a process that has been described before to play a role in the damage response of *FANCG* (70).

Differentially expressed genes associated with *FANCG* are also of ribonucleotide binding activity (FDR q-value 0.00006), such as XRCC3 which is together with *FANCG* involved in homologous recombination to maintain chromosome stability and repair DNA damage and also physically interacts with *FANCG* (see below).

The gene set enrichment analysis for *FANCF* revealed a highly significant overlap with genes that have transcription factor activity (FDR q-value 4.86E-022), such as a number of zinc finger proteins. However, these are somewhat inconclusive as a plethora of transcriptions factors is involved in the damage response.

The gene set enrichment analysis for *BRIP1* revealed highly significant overlaps with genes involved in the G2/M checkpoint, as in progression through the cell division cycle (FDR q-value of 2.07e-41), such as e.g. *BRCA2* and *BARD1* (*BRCA1* associated RING domain 1). Also, genes differentially expressed in association with *BRIP1* are preferably genes encoding cell cycle related targets of E2F transcription factors (FDR q-value of 8.14e-30). Some of these, *BRCA2* and *BRCA1* also physically interact with *BRIP1* (see below).

In order to complement the gene set enrichment, protein partners that interact physically with *FANCG*, *FANCF* and *BRIP1* were identified in a network analysis and are shown in Fig. 3. Highly associated protein sets are members of the Fanconi anemia nuclear complex (false discovery rate FDR of 9.72e-18) and proteins involved in double-strand break repair (FDR of 1.79e-3).

Discussion

Frequent LOH in FA gene regions of HNSCC. The microsatellite markers established here were informative for almost all patients and allowed us to assess the frequency of LOH at three FA gene regions in HNSCC tumours. LOH in at least one of the analysed regions containing the genes FANCF, FANCG and BRIP1 appears to be a frequent event in HNSCC development in more than half of the cases analysed here. Such a high frequency of LOH supports an assumed role of these genes in HNSCC development, and might also influence the success of HNSCC therapy.

The frequencies of LOH in the three studied genes is much higher than that of reported point mutations (0.3-1.1%): *BRIP1* was mutated in 2 of 172 skin cancers, 4 of 173 esophageal cancers and 1 of 113 upper aerodigestive tract cancers, and the mutational frequencies of *FANCG* and *FANCF* were even lower (71). This suggests minor role of point mutations, however, non-coding point mutations have not been studied and may add onto these frequencies.

Mutations in HNSCC are thought to be induced by mutagens such as tobacco smoke and alcohol (7-9). In the present study we observed a mild negative association between smoking or alcohol consumption and FA mutation for one microsatellite marker, suggesting that in some cases mutagenesis induced by smoking or alcohol is not responsible for HNSCC progression. The frequencies of LOH at FA gene regions reported here should be seen as a minimum estimate since the distance between FA genes and the used microsatellite markers was quite large and result in false negative assignments. The FANCG region presented with LOH in 41% of the tumours and is thus a strong candidate for further study. Similarly, the tumour suppressor CDKN2A on chromosome 9p has been implicated in cancer development, and the loss of this gene is thought to be a frequent event in various cancers and in HNSCC with an estimated 25%. A proposed progression model of HNSCC based on CGH data involved an early loss of 9p suggested ~80% of the samples (29). The LOH in this region may therefore be driven by loss of the tumour suppressor CDKN2A rather than that of FANCG. However, simultaneous loss of DNA repair mediated by Fancg may promote the accumulation of mutations and a deregulation of apoptosis at the same time (72,73). Future studies on cancer genomes will provide data to test whether heterozygosity is lost in CDKN2A and FANCG independently, or whether the loss of FANCG is a result of LOH in CDKN2A.

The markers used previously to study LOH in the *FANCF* region on chromosome 11p showed similar frequencies of LOH, partially using the same microsatellite markers (18-33%) (74) and also when markers were 2-3 Mb distant from our markers (17%) (32). These studies focused on primary HNSCCs. A proposed progression model of HNSCC implies that the same gene region 11p14 is lost in up to 60% of metastatic HNSCC (29). If the loss of *FANCF* predominantly happens during the progression of HNSCC to the metastatic stage, the gene may already be downregulated via other mechanisms in primary HNSCC, for instance methylation (40).

Markers previously used on chromosome 17q were more than 10 Mb distant from the *BRIP1* region and showed a higher LOH frequency (31%) than our estimate (11%), (32). However, another study evaluated loci around 3 Mb distant from our marker positions and did not detect LOH (74).

Thus, our data on LOH in the regions of *FANCG* and *FANCF* are in concordance with previous estimates for LOH in regions containing the studied FA genes. Reports on *BRIP1* vary and it is currently difficult to conclude on the role of LOH adjacent to this gene in HNSCC.

LOH in FA genes and patient survival. Impaired DNA repair in tumour tissue due to mutated FA genes may increase the sensitivity to DNA damage by radiotherapy and even more by alkylating agents and may thus prolong patient survival (72,73,75,76). However, associations of LOH at FA gene regions and patient survival were not strongly supported from our data. We observed a mild association of LOH at the FANCF region and decreased survival in our Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank tests. The loss of FANCF as a tumour suppressor is consistent with decreased survival in the affected patients and also with decreased expression of the gene in ovary, breast, lung, cervix and testis cancer (38-42). However, the weak association for FANCF is not as strong as that of known predictors for HNSCC survival, such as higher node stages and surgery (77,78). The Bonferroni correction may be overly conservative for the Cox PH models evaluated, as these can be assumed to be positively correlated and also weaker associations might be true positive results (79). Thus, in multivariate analyses with improved statistical power, the weak associations observed here may be correct.

The observed effect of tumour grading and improved survival was very weak and may not hold true, as we had a small sample size for this trait and higher tumour grading has repeatedly been described as associated with decreased survival (80-82). Our analysis may also lack statistical power since the analysed sample of 53 patients had varying clinical data. A rather uniform collection of tumours from patients with similar clinical data, e.g. all with surgery and radiotherapy, would result in a strongly improved study design. A larger sample for only chemotherapy treated individuals may be necessary to explore a potential link of chemosensitivity with *BRIP1* mutation.

Copy number and expression data. From the queried datasets we found that HNSCC tumours tend to overexpress FANCG and BRIP1 (Table VII), however, often exhibit chromosomal aberrations that predominantly involve the loss of these genes. After the induction of both genes for DNA repair, the copy number loss in a progressing tumour could remove both genes and allow the accumulation of mutations. This scenario supports the assumed role of FANCG and BRIP1 as tumour suppressors. These data differ from a previous study that found reduced expression of FANCG and BRIP1 in HNSCC in tongue carcinoma (26). However, a loss of FANCG and BRIP1 would diminish expression of the genes in later stages of HNSCC or in the more aggressive tongue carcinoma. Also in other cancer types FANCG was lost more often than gained (breast: 11.5% gains, 24.1% loss; lung: 13.9% gains, 43.9% losses; and pancreas: 20.3% gains, 39.0% losses) (71). However, BRIP1 was gained more often than lost indicating differences of the various cancer types (breast: 32.8% gains, 12.3% loss; lung: 36.8% gains, 6.9% losses; and pancreas: 27.1% gains, 24.8% losses).

Intergrating copy number data and expression is somewhat inconclusive as well for *FANCF* as it shows underexpression in two sets of HNSCC and is affected by copy number gains in another set. Copy number gains are not characteristic for tumour suppressor genes, which *FANCF* was supposed to be. A decrease in gene expression, may be explained by point mutations or methylation of the gene in 15% of HNSCC as previously reported (40,83). This could also ameliorate copy number gains that involve *FANCF* by chance. In other cancer types *FANCF* is affected by CNV in 30-40% of samples, involving gains and losses (breast: 13.4% gains, 20.4% loss; lung: 13% gains, 27.1% losses; and pancreas: 29.7% gains, 14.8% losses).

Thus, copy number mutations of FA genes are frequent in HNSCC and other cancer types. However, an improved understanding of the mechanisms leading from gene mutations to gene expression changes and a potential clinical relevance could come from data on LOH, CNV, point mutations and gene expression obtained for the same samples.

Differential gene expression analysis using TCGA data. Our pathway analyses using differential gene expression analysis with subsequent gene set enrichment and network analysis showed that physically interacting partners differ for the studied genes. FANCG and FANCF show some overlap in interacting with members of the Fanconi anemia nuclear complex while BRIP1 is rather involved in the double-strand break repair pathway.

Clinical relevance. As HNSCC is routinely treated with ionizing radiation and, less frequently, also with chemotherapy, mutations in DNA repair genes may be relevant for treatment success. Since we found LOH in FA gene regions in 57% of the patients and other mutation types may add to this frequency, a substantial proportion of HNSCC patients may be eligible for poly-adenosine diphosphate ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibition therapy. PARP inhibition impairs DNA repair selectively in cancer cells, however, not in normal somatic cells and may enter the clinics for HNSCC treatment (33,84). In theory, cancer cells with an impaired pathway for homologous recombination (e.g. due to mutated FA genes) cannot perform double strand break repair. PARP inhibition then may be used to block the base excision repair pathway for single strand break repair as well. Unlike normal cells, cancer cells will then be sensitized to DNA damage induced by radiation and alkylating chemotherapy (85). In this regard, cells deficient in FANCA, FANCC or FANCD2 were previously found to be hypersensitive to PARP inhibition (86). Also human HNSCC cells showed enhanced cytotoxicity with radiation and PARP inhibition compared to either agent alone (87). PARP inhibitors enhanced the effect of radiotherapy in a xenograft model of human HNSCC leading to reduced tumour volume and enhanced apoptosis (88). FANCF knockdown has been shown to induce chemosensitivity in cancer cells (89,90). Thus, further studies are needed to explore the observed association of LOH in FANCF and decreased HNSCC survival as well as the potential use of FA gene mutations as an indicator for chemo- and radiosensitivity of head and neck tumours.

In conclusion, analysing three FA gene regions, we found LOH in 57% of HNSCC tumours. LOH in *FANCF* showed a weak association with survival of radiotherapy and chemo-therapy treated HNSCC patients. Tumours with LOH in FA genes may exhibit an altered sensitivity to cancer therapy utilizing DNA damaging agents. Thus, it is worthwhile to perform further studies screening for other types of mutations in FA genes and involving larger sample sizes to improve the statistical power of survival analysis.

Acknowledgements

We thank Diana Jünger for advice on laboratory work, Susanne Koy for discussion and Jens Plaschke for advice on statistical data analysis. We thank Janet Kelso and Sebastian Bittrich for discussion and help in preparing the manuscript.

References

- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J and Pisani P: Global cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin 55: 74-108, 2005.
- Sadick M, Schoenberg SO, Hoermann K and Sadick H: Aktuelle Standards und Fortschritte in der onkologischen Bildgebung von Kopf-Hals-Tumoren. Laryngorhinootologie 91 (Suppl 1): S27-S47, 2012.
- 3. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E and Forman D: Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 61: 69-90, 2011.
- 4. Kademani D: Oral cancer. Mayo Clin Proc 82: 878-887, 2007.
- 5. Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J, Cohen RB, Jones CU, Sur RK, Raben D, Baselga J, Spencer SA, Zhu J, *et al*: Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for locoregionally advanced head and neck cancer: 5-year survival data from a phase 3 randomised trial, and relation between cetuximab-induced rash and survival. Lancet Oncol 11: 21-28, 2010.
- Forastiere A, Koch W, Trotti A and Sidransky D: Head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 345: 1890-1900, 2001.
- Maier H, Dietz A, Gewelke U, Heller WD and Weidauer H: Tobacco and alcohol and the risk of head and neck cancer. Clin Investig 70: 320-327, 1992.
- Lewin F, Norell SE, Johansson H, Gustavsson P, Wennerberg J, Biörklund A and Rutqvist LE: Smoking tobacco, oral snuff, and alcohol in the etiology of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: A population-based case-referent study in Sweden. Cancer 82: 1367-1375, 1998.
- 9. Viswanathan H and Wilson JA: Alcohol the neglected risk factor in head and neck cancer. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 29: 295-300, 2004.
- Fakhry C, Westra WH, Li S, Cmelak A, Ridge JA, Pinto H, Forastiere A and Gillison ML: Improved survival of patients with human papillomavirus-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in a prospective clinical trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 100: 261-269, 2008.
- 11. Gillison ML, Koch WM, Capone RB, Spafford M, Westra WH, Wu L, Zahurak ML, Daniel RW, Viglione M, Symer DE, et al: Evidence for a causal association between human papillomavirus and a subset of head and neck cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst 92: 709-720, 2000.
- Trizna Z and Schantz SP: Hereditary and environmental factors associated with risk and progression of head and neck cancer. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 25: 1089-1103, 1992.
- 13. Hecht F and Hecht BK: Cancer in ataxia-telangiectasia patients. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 46: 9-19, 1990.
- Levine AJ, Momand J and Finlay CA: The p53 tumour suppressor gene. Nature 351: 453-456, 1991.
- Blons H and Laurent-Puig P: TP53 and head and neck neoplasms. Hum Mutat 21: 252-257, 2003.
 Erber R, Conradt C, Homann N, Enders C, Finckh M, Dietz A,
- 16. Erber R, Conradt C, Homann N, Enders C, Finckh M, Dietz A, Weidauer H and Bosch FX: TP53 DNA contact mutations are selectively associated with allelic loss and have a strong clinical impact in head and neck cancer. Oncogene 16: 1671-1679, 1998.

- Nylander K, Dabelsteen E and Hall PA: The p53 molecule and its prognostic role in squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. J Oral Pathol Med 29: 413-425, 2000.
- Stransky N, Egloff AM, Tward AD, Kostic AD, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A, Kryukov GV, Lawrence MS, Sougnez C, McKenna A, *et al*: The mutational landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Science 333: 1157-1160, 2011.
- Agrawal N, Frederick MJ, Pickering CR, Bettegowda C, Chang K, Li RJ, Fakhry C, Xie TX, Zhang J, Wang J, et al: Exome sequencing of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma reveals inactivating mutations in NOTCH1. Science 333: 1154-1157, 2011.
- 20. Skinner HD1, Sandulache VC, Ow TJ, Meyn RE, Yordy JS, Beadle BM, Fitzgerald AL, Giri U, Ang KK and Myers JN: TP53 disruptive mutations lead to head and neck cancer treatment failure through inhibition of radiation-induced senescence. Clin Cancer Res 18: 290-300, 2012.
- 21. Kutler DI, Auerbach AD, Satagopan J, Giampietro PF, Batish SD, Huvos AG, Goberdhan A, Shah JP and Singh B: High incidence of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in patients with Fanconi anemia. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 129: 106-112, 2003.
- 22. Kutler DI, Singh B, Satagopan J, Batish SD, Berwick M, Giampietro PF, Hanenberg H and Auerbach AD: A 20-year perspective on the International Fanconi Anemia Registry (IFAR). Blood 101: 1249-1256, 2003.
- 23. Rosenberg PS, Socié G, Alter BP and Gluckman E: Risk of head and neck squamous cell cancer and death in patients with Fanconi anemia who did and did not receive transplants. Blood 105: 67-73, 2005.
- 24. Walden H and Deans AJ: The Fanconi anemia DNA repair pathway: Structural and functional insights into a complex disorder. Annu Rev Biophys 43: 257-278, 2014.
- 25. Chandrasekharappa SC, Lach FP, Kimble DC, Kamat A, Teer JK, Donovan FX, Flynn E, Sen SK, Thongthip S, Sanborn E, et al; NISC Comparative Sequencing Program: Massively parallel sequencing, aCGH, and RNA-Seq technologies provide a comprehensive molecular diagnosis of Fanconi anemia. Blood 121: e138-e148, 2013.
- Wreesmann VB, Estilo C, Eisele DW, Singh B and Wang SJ: Downregulation of Fanconi anemia genes in sporadic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec 69: 218-225, 2007.
- 27. Pfeiffer J, Maier W, Ridder GJ, Zaoui K and Birkenhäger R: LOH-profiling by SNP-mapping in a case of multifocal head and neck cancer. World J Clin Oncol 3: 24-28, 2012.
- Sparano A, Quesnelle KM, Kumar MS, Wang Y, Sylvester AJ, Feldman M, Sewell DA, Weinstein GS and Brose MS: Genomewide profiling of oral squamous cell carcinoma by array-based comparative genomic hybridization. Laryngoscope 116: 735-741, 2006.
- Bockmühl U, Schlüns K, Schmidt S, Matthias S and Petersen I: Chromosomal alterations during metastasis formation of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 33: 29-35, 2002.
- Koy S, Hauses M, Appelt H, Friedrich K, Schackert HK and Eckelt U: Loss of expression of ZAC/LOT1 in squamous cell carcinomas of head and neck. Head Neck 26: 338-344, 2004.
- Weber F, Xu Y, Zhang L, Patocs A, Shen L, Platzer P and Eng C: Microenvironmental genomic alterations and clinicopathological behavior in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. JAMA 297: 187-195, 2007.
- Nawroz H, van der Riet P, Hruban RH, Koch W, Ruppert JM and Sidransky D: Allelotype of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res 54: 1152-1155, 1994.
- Bryant HE, Schultz N, Thomas HD, Parker KM, Flower D, Lopez E, Kyle S, Meuth M, Curtin NJ and Helleday T: Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly(ADPribose) polymerase. Nature 434: 913-917, 2005.
- 34. Duan W, Gao L, Zhao W, Leon M, Sadee W, Webb A, Resnick K, Wu X, Ramaswamy B, Cohn DE, *et al*: Assessment of FANCD2 nuclear foci formation in paraffin-embedded tumors: A potential patient-enrichment strategy for treatment with DNA interstrand crosslinking agents. Transl Res 161: 156-164, 2013.
- 35. de Winter JP, Rooimans MA, van Der Weel L, van Berkel CG, Alon N, Bosnoyan-Collins L, de Groot J, Zhi Y, Waisfisz Q, Pronk JC, *et al*: The Fanconi anaemia gene FANCF encodes a novel protein with homology to ROM. Nat Genet 24: 15-16, 2000.



- 36. Ahmad SI and Kirk SH: Molecular Mechanisms of Fanconi Anemia. Landes Bioscience/Eurekah.com, 2006.
- 37. de Winter JP, van der Weel L, de Groot J, Stone S, Waisfisz Q, Arwert F, Scheper RJ, Kruyt FA, Hoatlin ME and Joenje H: The Fanconi anemia protein FANCF forms a nuclear complex with FANCA, FANCC and FANCG. Hum Mol Genet 9: 2665-2674, 2000.
- 38. Taniguchi T, Tischkowitz M, Ameziane N, Hodgson SV, Mathew CG, Joenje H, Mok SC and D'Andrea AD: Disruption of the Fanconi anemia-BRCA pathway in cisplatin-sensitive ovarian tumors. Nat Med 9: 568-574, 2003.
- 39. Olopade OI and Wei M: FANCF methylation contributes to chemoselectivity in ovarian cancer. Cancer Cell 3: 417-420, 2003.
- 40. Marsit CJ, Liu M, Nelson HH, Posner M, Suzuki M and Kelsey KT: Inactivation of the Fanconi anemia/BRCA pathway in lung and oral cancers: Implications for treatment and survival. Oncogene 23: 1000-1004, 2004.
- Narayan G, Arias-Pulido H, Nandula SV, Basso K, Sugirtharaj DD, Vargas H, Mansukhani M, Villella J, Meyer L, Schneider A, *et al*: Promoter hypermethylation of FANCF: Disruption of Fanconi Anemia-BRCA pathway in cervical cancer. Cancer Res 64: 2994-2997, 2004.
- 42. Koul S, McKiernan JM, Narayan G, Houldsworth J, Bacik J, Dobrzynski DL, Assaad AM, Mansukhani M, Reuter VE, Bosl GJ, *et al*: Role of promoter hypermethylation in Cisplatin treatment response of male germ cell tumors. Mol Cancer 3: 16, 2004.
- 43. de Winter JP, Waisfisz Q, Rooimans MA, van Berkel CG, Bosnoyan-Collins L, Alon N, Carreau M, Bender O, Demuth I, Schindler D, et al: The Fanconi anaemia group G gene FANCG is identical with XRCC9. Nat Genet 20: 281-283, 1998.
- 44. Demuth I, Wlodarski M, Tipping AJ, Morgan NV, de Winter JP, Thiel M, Gräsl S, Schindler D, D'Andrea AD, Altay C, *et al:* Spectrum of mutations in the Fanconi anaemia group G gene, FANCG/XRCC9. Eur J Hum Genet 8: 861-868, 2000.
- 45. Gallmeier E, Calhoun ES, Rago C, Brody JR, Cunningham SC, Hucl T, Gorospe M, Kohli M, Lengauer C and Kern SE: Targeted disruption of FANCC and FANCG in human cancer provides a preclinical model for specific therapeutic options. Gastroenterology 130: 2145-2154, 2006.
- 46. Levitus M, Waisfisz Q, Godthelp BC, de Vries Y, Hussain S, Wiegant WW, Elghalbzouri-Maghrani E, Steltenpool J, Rooimans MA, Pals G, *et al*: The DNA helicase BRIP1 is defective in Fanconi anemia complementation group J. Nat Genet 37: 934-935, 2005.
- 47. Levran O, Attwooll C, Henry RT, Milton KL, Neveling K, Rio P, Batish SD, Kalb R, Velleuer E, Barral S, *et al*: The BRCA1interacting helicase BRIP1 is deficient in Fanconi anemia. Nat Genet 37: 931-933, 2005.
- 48. Litman R, Peng M, Jin Z, Zhang F, Zhang J, Powell S, Andreassen PR and Cantor SB: BACH1 is critical for homologous recombination and appears to be the Fanconi anemia gene product FANCJ. Cancer Cell 8: 255-265, 2005.
- 49. Xie J, Peng M, Guillemette S, Quan S, Maniatis S, Wu Y, Venkatesh A, Shaffer SA, Brosh RM Jr and Cantor SB: FANCJ/ BACH1 acetylation at lysine 1249 regulates the DNA damage response. PLoS Genet 8: e1002786, 2012.
- 50. Cantor SB and Guillemette S: Hereditary breast cancer and the BRCA1-associated FANCJ/BACH1/BRIP1. Future Oncol 7: 253-261, 2011.
- Sobin LH and Fleming ID: TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors, fifth edition (1997). Union Internationale Contre le Cancer and the American Joint Committee on Cancer. Cancer 80: 1803-1804, 1997.
- 52. Idbaih A, Carvalho Silva R, Crinière E, Marie Y, Carpentier C, Boisselier B, Taillibert S, Rousseau A, Mokhtari K, Ducray F, *et al*: Genomic changes in progression of low-grade gliomas. J Neurooncol 90: 133-140, 2008.
- 53. van Zeeburg HJ, Snijders PJ, Pals G, Hermsen MA, Rooimans MA, Bagby G, Soulier J, Gluckman E, Wennerberg J, Leemans CR, *et al*: Generation and molecular characterization of head and neck squamous cell lines of fanconi anemia patients. Cancer Res 65: 1271-1276, 2005.
- 54. Nagao T1, Sugano I, Ishida Y, Tajima Y, Munakata S, Asoh A, Yamazaki K, Muto H, Konno A, Kondo Y, *et al*: Primary large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the parotid gland: immunohistochemical and molecular analysis of two cases. Mod Pathol 13: 554-561, 2000.

- 55. Tarmin L, Yin J, Zhou X, Suzuki H, Jiang HY, Rhyu MG, Abraham JM, Krasna MJ, Cottrell J and Meltzer SJ: Frequent loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 9 in adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus. Cancer Res 54: 6094-6096, 1994.
- 56. Chen CH, Chang CJ, Yang WS, Chen CL and Fann CSJ: A genome-wide scan using tree-based association analysis for candidate loci related to fasting plasma glucose levels. BMC Genet 4 (Suppl 1): S65, 2003.
- Powlesland RM, Charles AK, Malik KTA, Reynolds PA, Pires S, Boavida M and Brown KW: Loss of heterozygosity at 7p in Wilms' tumour development. Br J Cancer 82: 323-329, 2000.
- 58. Orsetti B, Courjal F, Cuny M, Rodriguez C and Theillet C: 17q21-q25 aberrations in breast cancer: Combined allelotyping and CGH analysis reveals 5 regions of allelic imbalance among which two correspond to DNA amplification. Oncogene 18: 6262-6270, 1999.
- 59. Indraccolo S, Tisato V, Agata S, Moserle L, Ferrari S, Callegaro M, Persano L, Palma MD, Scaini MC, Esposito G, *et al*: Establishment and characterization of xenografts and cancer cell cultures derived from BRCA1 -/- epithelial ovarian cancers. Eur J Cancer 42: 1475-1483, 2006.
- 60. Canzian F, Salovaara R, Hemminki A, Kristo P, Chadwick RB, Aaltonen LA and de la Chapelle A: Semiautomated assessment of loss of heterozygosity and replication error in tumors. Cancer Res 56: 3331-3337, 1996.
- 61. Cawkwell L, Bell SM, Lewis FA, Dixon MF, Taylor GR and Quirke P: Rapid detection of allele loss in colorectal tumours using microsatellites and fluorescent DNA technology. Br J Cancer 67: 1262-1267, 1993.
- 62. Hahn M, Wieland I, Koufaki ON, Görgens H, Sobottka SB, Schackert G and Schackert HK: Genetic alterations of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN/MMAC1 in human brain metastases. Clin Cancer Res 5: 2431-2437, 1999.
- 63. Ihaka R and Gentleman R: R: A language for data analysis and graphics. J Comput Graph Stat 5: 299-314, 1996.
- 64. Bland JM and Altman DG: Multiple significance tests: The Bonferroni method. BMJ 310: 170, 1995.
- 65. Cheng PF, Dummer R and Levesque MP: Data mining The Cancer Genome Atlas in the era of precision cancer medicine. Swiss Med Wkly 145: w14183, 2015.
- 66. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, *et al*: Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 15545-15550, 2005.
- 67. Warde-Farley D, Donaldson SL, Comes O, Zuberi K, Badrawi R, Chao P, Franz M, Grouios C, Kazi F, Lopes CT, *et al*: The GeneMANIA prediction server: Biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. Nucleic Acids Res 38 (Web Server): W214-W220, 2010.
- 68. Kuriakose MA, Chen WT, He ZM, Sikora AG, Zhang P, Zhang ZY, Qiu WL, Hsu DF, McMunn-Coffran C, Brown SM, *et al*: Selection and validation of differentially expressed genes in head and neck cancer. Cell Mol Life Sci 61: 1372-1383, 2004.
- 69. Hu N, Clifford RJ, Yang HH, Wang C, Goldstein AM, Ding T, Taylor PR and Lee MP: Genome wide analysis of DNA copy number neutral loss of heterozygosity (CNNLOH) and its relation to gene expression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. BMC Genomics 11: 576, 2010.
- 70. Zhu B, Yan K, Li L, Lin M, Zhang S, He Q, Zheng D, Yang H and Shao G: K63-linked ubiquitination of FANCG is required for its association with the Rap80-BRCA1 complex to modulate homologous recombination repair of DNA interstand crosslinks. Oncogene 34: 2867-2878, 2015.
- 71. Forbes SA, Bindal N, Bamford S, Cole C, Kok CY, Beare D, Jia M, Shepherd R, Leung K, Menzies A, *et al*: COSMIC: Mining complete cancer genomes in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer. Nucleic Acids Res 39 (Database): D945-D950, 2011.
- Deans AJ and West SC: DNA interstrand crosslink repair and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 11: 467-480, 2011.
 Ridet A, Guillouf C, Duchaud E, Cundari E, Fiore M,
- Ridet A, Guillouf C, Duchaud E, Cundari E, Fiore M, Moustacchi E and Rosselli F: Deregulated apoptosis is a hallmark of the Fanconi anemia syndrome. Cancer Res 57: 1722-1730, 1997.
- 74. Beder LB, Gunduz M, Ouchida M, Fukushima K, Gunduz E, Ito S, Sakai A, Nagai N, Nishizaki K and Shimizu K: Genomewide analyses on loss of heterozygosity in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Lab Invest 83: 99-105, 2003.

- McHugh PJ, Spanswick VJ and Hartley JA: Repair of DNA interstrand crosslinks: Molecular mechanisms and clinical relevance. Lancet Oncol 2: 483-490, 2001.
- 76. Bridge WL, Vandenberg CJ, Franklin RJ and Hiom K: The BRIP1 helicase functions independently of BRCA1 in the Fanconi anemia pathway for DNA crosslink repair. Nat Genet 37: 953-957, 2005.
- 77. Hoffmann M, Görögh T, Gottschlich S, Lohrey C, Rittgen W, Ambrosch P, Schwarz E and Kahn T: Human papillomaviruses in head and neck cancer: 8 year-survival-analysis of 73 patients. Cancer Lett 218: 199-206, 2005.
- Sticht C, Hofele C, Flechtenmacher C, Bosch FX, Freier K, Lichter P and Joos S: Amplification of Cyclin L1 is associated with lymph node metastases in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Br J Cancer 92: 770-774, 2005.
- 79. Hashemi R and Commenges D: Correction of the p-value after multiple tests in a Cox proportional hazard model. Lifetime Data Anal 8: 335-348, 2002.
- 80. Bryne M, Koppang HS, Lilleng R, Stene T, Bang G and Dabelsteen E: New malignancy grading is a better prognostic indicator than Broders' grading in oral squamous cell carcinomas. J Oral Pathol Med 18: 432-437, 1989.
- 81. Kristensen GB, Abeler VM, Risberg B, Trop C and Bryne M: Tumor size, depth of invasion, and grading of the invasive tumor front are the main prognostic factors in early squamous cell cervical carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 74: 245-251, 1999.
- Bryne M, Koppang HŠ, Lilleng R and Kjaerheim A: Malignancy grading of the deep invasive margins of oral squamous cell carcinomas has high prognostic value. J Pathol 166: 375-381, 1992.
- 83. Lim SL, Smith P, Syed N, Coens C, Wong H, van der Burg M, Szlosarek P, Crook T and Green JA: Promoter hypermethylation of FANCF and outcome in advanced ovarian cancer. Br J Cancer 98: 1452-1456, 2008.

- 84. Begg AC: Predicting recurrence after radiotherapy in head and neck cancer. Semin Radiat Oncol 22: 108-118, 2012.
- 85. Curtin NJ and Szabo C: Therapeutic applications of PARP inhibitors: Anticancer therapy and beyond. Mol Aspects Med 34: 1217-1256, 2013.
- 86. McCabe N, Turner NC, Lord CJ, Kluzek K, Białkowska A, Swift S, Giavara S, O'Connor MJ, Tutt AN, Zdzienicka MZ, *et al*: Deficiency in the repair of DNA damage by homologous recombination and sensitivity to poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibition. Cancer Res 66: 8109-8115, 2006.
- 87. Nowsheen S, Bonner JA and Yang ES: The poly(ADP-Ribose) polymerase inhibitor ABT-888 reduces radiation-induced nuclear EGFR and augments head and neck tumor response to radiotherapy. Radiother Oncol 99: 331-338, 2011.
- 88. Khan K, Araki K, Wang D, Li G, Li X, Zhang J, Xu W, Hoover RK, Lauter S, O'Malley B Jr, *et al*: Head and neck cancer radiosensitization by the novel poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor GPI-15427. Head Neck 32: 381-391, 2010.
- 89. Li Y, Zhao L, Sun H, Yu J, Li N, Liang J, Wang Y, He M, Bai X, Yu Z, *et al*: Gene silencing of FANCF potentiates the sensitivity to mitoxantrone through activation of JNK and p38 signal pathways in breast cancer cells. PLoS One 7: e44254, 2012.
- 90. Yu J, Zhao L, Li Y, Li N, He M, Bai X, Yu Z, Zheng Z, Mi X, Wang E, et al: Silencing of fanconi anemia complementation group f exhibits potent chemosensitization of mitomycin C activity in breast cancer cells. J Breast Cancer 16: 291-299, 2013.