Effect of dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol on lipopolysaccharide-stimulated dendritic cells
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Abstract. Dexmedetomidine, midazolam and propofol are common sedative drugs used in the intensive care unit. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) are a potent inducer of human dendritic cells (DCs) maturation and survival, which induces cytokine production. The present study aimed to investigate the effect and mechanisms of sedative drugs on LPS-induced cytokine production in DCs. The mouse bone marrow-derived dendritic DC2.4 cell line was used in the present study. The Cell Counting Kit-8 assay was used to measure the viability of cells. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, and IL-10 mRNA expression levels and contents were measured using reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction and ELISA, respectively. The expression levels of proteins associated with nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathways were assessed by western blotting. The three sedatives had different roles on TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10 mRNA expression levels and content in DCs. Dexmedetomidine promoted inflammatory cytokine production at high clinical concentrations (10, 1 and 0.1 µM), however suppressed them at the lowest clinical concentration (0.001 µM), which was associated with NF-κB and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK)-mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling. Midazolam inhibited inflammatory cytokine production via suppression of the NF-κB and JNK signaling pathways. Propofol partly inhibited inflammatory cytokine production, including IL-1β and IL-6, and the anti-inflammatory effect may result from inhibition of JNK-MAPK, and enhanced NF-κB and extracellular signal-regulated kinase-MAPK signaling at clinical concentrations. The present study helped to elucidate the function of sedatives in LPS-induced cytokine production in DCs, which will facilitate rational implementation of these sedatives in patients undergoing tracheal intubation with sepsis or multiple organ dysfunction syndrome.

Introduction

Dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol, sedative drugs commonly used in the intensive care unit, are widely used for critical patients with tracheal intubation; most of these patients suffer from sepsis or severe sepsis. Recently, the effects of these sedative drugs on inflammation have been considered (1,2), and for both clinical and in vitro studies have compared the effects of these three drugs on inflammation models (3,4). Propofol and midazolam has shown anti-inflammatory properties in a variety of experimental models (1,5), while dexmedetomidine, a highly selective agonist of α2-adrenergic receptors, may have a biphasic effect on cells (6).

Dendritic cells (DCs) are one of the crucial immune cells that bridge innate and adaptive immunity, in which DC process antigens during innate immune responses to present them to naïve T-cells, leading to an establishment of adaptive immunity (7). After they are stimulated, immature DCs migrate to the draining lymph node where DCs present the processed antigen peptides to lymphocytes, which then leads to the establishment of adaptive immunity (8). Lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a bacterial endotoxin contributing to sepsis, can stimulate immature DCs and induce an inflammatory response; during this process, the expression of inflammation cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, and IL-10, increases (9,10). Moreover, over-production of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2) enzymes, which are pro-inflammatory factors, can also be enhanced by LPS (11,12). The nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways play a vital role in this inflammatory response (10,13).

In vitro studies on the effect of sedatives on inflammation have mostly concentrated on the effects on macrophages (6,14,15) and microglia (16), and few have considered the effects on DCs (17,18). Therefore, in this study, we investigated the effect of dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol, in doses based...
on the typical blood levels achieved clinically, on the production of inflammatory mediators in LPS-activated DCs, and then we explored the underlying mechanism. We show that the three sedatives could affect LPS-induced inflammation through different pathways.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. Mouse bone marrow-derived DCs (named DC2.4 cell) were kindly donated by Cheng Hao (Department of Dermatology, Medical College of Zhejiang University, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, Hangzhou, China) (19). DC2.4 cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific; Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), in culture dishes (60x15 mm; Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in a humidified chamber. The culture medium was changed every day for routine culture and each treatment was carried out when the cells reached 80% confluence (6).

Experimental protocols. DC2.4 cells were stimulated with LPS (Escherichia coli; serotype 055:B5; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, 10 mg/ml) to induce the expression of inflammatory molecules. A total of 26 groups were employed. Two groups of DC2.4 cells, treated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; denoted as the control group) or LPS (1 µg/ml, denoted as the L group), which were served as the negative or positive controls, respectively. To elucidate the effect of dexmedetomidine (Heng Riu Inc., Guangzhou, China), which indicated the mitochondrial damage. A total of 24 groups were divided into 12 groups again and treated with different concentrations of dexmedetomidine (0.5, 1, 0.01, or 0.001 µM), midazolam (50, 10, 1, or 0.1 µM), or propofol (100, 50, 15, or 1 µM) respectively, which were named as group of D1, D2, D3, D4, M1, M2, M3, P1, P2, P3, P4, respectively. To compare the effect of dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol on LPS-stimulated DCs, DC2.4 cells were divided into 12 groups and treated with different concentrations of dexmedetomidine (0.5, 0.1, 0.01, or 0.001 µM), midazolam (50, 10, 1, or 0.1 µM), or propofol (100, 50, 15, or 1 µM) respectively, which were named as group of L-D1, L-D2, L-D3, L-D4, respectively. To compare the effect of dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol on LPS-stimulated DCs, DC2.4 cells were divided into 12 groups again and treated with LPS (1 µg/ml) plus different concentrations of dexmedetomidine (0.5, 0.1, 0.01, or 0.001 µM; denoted as L-D1, L-D2, L-D3, and L-D4, respectively), LPS plus midazolam (50, 10, 1, or 0.1 µM; denoted as L-M1, L-M2, L-M3, and L-M4, respectively), or LPS plus propofol (100, 50, 15, or 1 µM; denoted as L-P1, L-P2, L-P3, and L-P4, respectively). These dosages included clinical dosages (0.001-1 µM dexmedetomidine, 0.1-10 µM midazolam, and 1-50 µM propofol) as well as a supra-concentration of every drug (0.5 µM dexmedetomidine, 50 µM midazolam, and 100 µM propofol) (18,20-22). The concentration of LPS for all treatments was 1 µg/ml.

Cell viability assay. The cell counting kit-8 was used to determine cell viability (CCK-8, Yiyuan Biotechnologies, Guangzhou, China), which indicated the mitochondrial enzyme activity. To examine the effect of the tested drugs on DC2.4 cell viability, the DC2.4 (3x10⁵ cells/ml) were cultured with DMEM medium containing 10% FBS in flat-bottom 96-well plates (200 µl/well) and overnight at 37°C, in a humidified chamber with 5% CO₂. The cultured medium was replaced by the medium containing LPS and/or anesthetic drugs, and then cells were cultured for 24 h. After treatment, a new medium replaced the cultured medium, adding 20 µl/well of CCK-8 solution into each well, and followed by incubation at 37°C for 2 h. The absorbance was measured at 490 nm, with the correction wavelength set at 650 nm. The cell viability (%)=( ODdrug-ODblank control)/(ODcontrol-ODblank control) x 100%. The the blank control was that had no cell while contained medium and CCK-8. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Data are representative of the mean and standard deviation of three replicates within an experiment.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). In order to observe the changes in inflammatory molecules at mRNA level, we used RT-qPCR. We extracted total RNA from treated DC2.4 cells using an Ultrapure RNA kit (CWBO, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, we synthesized first-strand cDNA with a HiFiScript 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (CWBO). Then, we performed RT-qPCR with SYBR-Green (SYBR Premix ExTaq GC; Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) on a LightCycler480 Real-Time PCR System. The primer sequences were as follows: TNF-α-F: 5'-AGGGTCTGGCATAGAACT-3', TNF-α-R: 5'-CCACCCGCTTCCCTCT-3', IL-1β-F: 5’-TGGCAACTGTCTTCGTGAACTCA-3’, IL-1β-R: 5’-AGCAGCCTTTACCTTTTGG-3’; IL-6-F: 5’-AGTGCTCTTCTTGGAGTGA-3’; IL-6-R: 5’-TCCACGGTTCTCCAGGAAC-3’; IL-10-F: 5’-GCCAGAGCTTATCGGAATG3’; IL-10-R: 5’-CACCACGGGATACTTCAAATGC-3’; 36B4-F: 5’-GCCCTGCAGCTTCTGCCTTCT-3’, 36B4-R: 5’-CACTGCGGACCCAGGCAAGTTG-3’ (23). The RT-PCR reaction involved initial denaturation (95°C, 30 sec), followed by 42 cycles each consisting of denaturation (95°C, 5 sec), and extension (60°C, 30 sec), and then a final extension step at 95°C for 30 sec.

Cytokine assays. To test the inflammatory cytokine levels, DC2.4 cells were cultured in 96-well plates (200 µl/well) at 3x10⁴ cells/ml overnight. With LPS treatment, the detection time are set at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 18, and 24 h. The highest expression levels of IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α and IL-1β were observed at 4, 6, 2 and 2 h, respectively. The pre-experiment showed that, the difference between the TNF-α/IL-1β expression level at 2 h and at the peak time (respectively, 4 and 6 h) is small, while their expression level at 2 h LPS treatment are obviously higher than the blank group (data not shown). In order to unify experimental environment and convenient experiment, 2 h was selected for subsequent experiments. So the cell was all cultured with drugs for 2 h. After adding LPS (1 µg/ml) and/or anesthetic drugs in the culture media for 2 h, the culture media were renewed and cells were cultured further for 18 h. And then the media were collected and stored at -80°C until required for analysis. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits for mouse TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. IL-1β, as an endocellularly secreted inflammatory cytokine, was barely secreted to the outside of cells after LPS stimulation. When the cells were ruptured by repeated freezing and thawing (24), and IL-1β could be detected using an IL-1β Colorimetric ELISA kit (R&D Systems, Inc.). According to the ELISA reagents assay
kit protocols, the minimum detection dose ranges for TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10 and IL-1β were 0.36-7.21, 1.3-1.8, 0.46-4.80 and 0.652-5.22 pg/ml, and the sensitivity of the ELISA for them were 7.21, 1.8, 5.22 and 4.8 pg/ml, respectively. The concentrations of TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10, and IL-1β were respectively assayed using a microplate reader set to 450 nm, with the correction wavelength set at 540 nm. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Data are representative of the mean and standard deviation of three replicates within an experiment.

Western blot analysis. Western blotting was used to assess the protein expression of COX2, IκB-α, ERK-MAPK, p38-MAPK, and JNK-MAPK in DC2.4 cells. Based on a pre-experiment, 15 min was chosen as the treatment time for all proteins, except for COX2, whose treatment time was 10 h. In short, after drug treatments, proteins were extracted from the cultured cells using RIPA buffer (Boster, Wuhan, China) containing PMSF (BOSTER) and phosphatase inhibitors (Boster). Protein concentrations were quantified using a BCA protein assay kit (Boster). Equal amounts of total protein were separated electrophoretically by SDS-PAGE and the corresponding blots probed with the relevant primary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), followed by incubation with appropriate secondary antibodies. The target proteins were then visualized using a chemiluminescence method (ECL kit; Amersham Biosciences, Foster City, CA, USA) and imaged with a digital imaging system (Image Quant LAS-4000; Fuji film, Tokyo, Japan). Multi-Gauge Software was used for determining band densities (Fujifilm).

Statistical analysis. Results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. The data are presented as mean ± SEM and were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and SPSS software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The experiments were analyzed using One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s test for the comparison of more groups of data and Unpaired Student t-test for the comparison of two groups of data. In all cases, P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Effect of sedatives on the DCs viability. To test the toxicity of dexmedetomidine, midazolam, propofol, and LPS on DCs, the DCs were cultured with these drugs and LPS, respectively, and then the cell viability was assayed using a CCK-8 kit. Compared with the negative control group, the DC2.4 cells viability has no significantly changes in LPS group or in LPS-combined respectively dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol groups (Fig. 1).

Effect of sedatives on LPS-induced cytokines. The pre-experiment showed that the TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10 mRNA expression peaked at different times in response to treatment, but their expression levels were all elevated after LPS treatment for 2 h. So the cell was all cultured with drugs for 2 h. Compared with the negative control, there was a significant increase of the TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10 mRNA expression in LPS-treated cell (all P<0.05). However, the only dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol did not affect their expression (data not shown).

Upon co-treatment with LPS, dexmedetomidine did not significantly affect the LPS-induced TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-10 mRNA expression at the used four concentrations (P>0.05, Fig. 2). However, midazolam, at all four concentrations, markedly inhibited LPS-induced IL-6 mRNA expression (Fig. 2C). The inhibition ratio of midazolam was up to 84.3±7.6, 51.6±8.7, 20.6±9.2, and 31.3±8.0% at 50, 10, 1 and 0.1 µM, respectively.

Midazolam at a concentration of 10 and 50 µM also significantly inhibited the LPS-induced IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-10 expression. The LPS-induced IL-6 and IL-10 expression were not markedly affected by propofol at any of the tested concentrations (Fig. 2C and D). Moreover, as Fig. 2A and B showed that propofol did not affect the LPS-enhanced TNF-α and IL-1β expression at both of the highest (100 µM) and lowest concentrations (1 µM), while propofol at 50 and 30 µM could inhibit the induced TNF-α expression by 24.7±7.2 and 20.7±9.1%, respectively. Additionally, the enhanced IL-1β expression was significantly suppressed by propofol at 50 and 15 µM with the inhibition rate of 41.1±16.5 and by 28.9±17.5%, respectively, but this did not reach statistical significance.

Effect of sedatives on LPS-induced cytokines levels. As shown in Fig. 3, LPS could enhance significantly TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 levels in DCs (P<0.05). In addition to the 1 µM dexmedetomidine that could inhibit LPS-induced IL-1β and IL-6 levels with the inhibition ratio of 24.7±7.2 and 17.5±5% (P<0.05), respectively, the other used concentrations did not affect TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 levels. Midazolam could inhibit LPS-enhanced IL-6 protein expression at four used concentration (50 µM: 90.7±4.9, 10 µM: 45.9±12.3, 1 µM: 21.7±7.4, 0.1 µM: 25.5±4.4). A similar negative effect on LPS-induced IL-6 expression was seen after propofol treatment at 15 µM (L-P3) and 1 µM (L-P4), with a reduction by 7.6±2.5 and 21.5±3.6%, respectively. Propofol did not significantly affect the LPS-induced TNF-α levels, while midazolam could significantly suppress it by 49.9±13.3% at 50 µM and by 28.2±10.3% at 10 µM, and non-significantly by 13.6±7.6% at 1 µM and 17.0±7.8% at 0.1 µM. A similar
Effect of sedatives on the LPS-stimulated NF-κB and MAPK pathways. Western blotting was used to assess the protein expression of COX2, IκB-α, ERK-MAPK, p38-MAPK, and JNK-MAPK in DC2.4 cells. Based on a pre-experiment, 15 min was chosen as the treatment time for all proteins, except for COX2, whose treatment time was 10 h. COX2, phospho-P38, phospho-ERK, and phospho-JNK expression was low in the control group, but was clearly enhanced by LPS treatment (Fig. 4A). Dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol could not change the basal expression levels of these proteins (data not shown).

As showed in Fig. 4A, midazolam inhibited LPS-enhanced COX2 protein expression at concentrations of 10 and 50 µM. Co-treatment with LPS and dexmedetomidine at 0.01, 0.1, and 1 µM promoted COX2 protein expression. Similarly, co-treatment with LPS and propofol at concentrations of 1, 15, and 30 µM promoted COX2 expression. However, the effect of propofol at 50 µM actually had an inhibitory effect.

IκB-α, a crucial NF-κB component, has a suppressive effect on inflammation. In particular, midazolam at 10 and 50 µM suppressed the LPS-mediated reduction in the expression of IκB-α protein, but the LPS-induced decreased in IκB-α
In order to explore whether MAPK signaling participates in the inflammatory process, the three main pathways were examined. Using Western blot analysis, we observed that protein expression was enhanced by both dexmedetomidine and propofol at all tested concentrations. 

In Figure 4, the effects of dexmedetomidine, midazolam, and propofol on LPS-stimulated NF-κB and MAPK pathways are illustrated. (A) The western blot of COX2, IκB-α, p-ERK, p-p38, and p-JNK. (B-F) The graphics with the data from COX2, IκB-α, p-ERK, p-p38, and p-JNK protein levels. *P<0.05 vs. L group, †P<0.05 vs. L-D1, L-M1, or L-P1 group, respectively. Lipopolysaccharides; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IL, interleukin; COX2, cyclooxygenase-2; p-, phospho; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; JNK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase.
proteins involved in MAPK signaling, viz., phospho-p38, phospho-ERK, and phospho-JNK, as well as their reference proteins, were investigated. LPS could enhance the expression of phospho-ERK, phospho-p38, and phospho-JNK, but none of the three anesthetic drugs changed the basal protein secretion.

While midazolam did not significantly affect the expression of LPS enhanced phospho-ERK, both dexmedetomidine and propofol promoted this expression at the used four concentrations. Phospho-JNK expression, induced by LPS, could be inhibited by midazolam (at 50, 10 and 1 µM) and propofol (1 µM), and was enhanced by dexmedetomidine (at 1, 0.1 and 0.001 µM). However, this enhancement by dexmedetomidine was reduced at a low concentration, and at 0.01 µM, dexmedetomidine rather had an inhibitory effect. Furthermore, none of the three drugs affected the LPS-enhanced expression of phospho-p38.

Discussion

Recently, the effects of sedative drugs on inflammation have become a matter of interest (1,2). Propofol is an intravenous anesthetic that is widely used for anesthesia and sedation, and Inada et al reported that intravenous anesthetic propofol suppresses prostaglandin E2 production in murine DC (25). Dexmedetomidine has an effect on the migratory capacity of DCs both in vitro and in vivo (17). Generally, TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 are regarded as important pro-inflammatory cytokines, while IL-10 is considered as an anti-inflammatory cytokine (26-28). This study aimed to investigate the effect of dexmedetomidine, propofol and midazolam on pro-inflammatory cytokines production in LPS-induced DCs are lacking.

Our study demonstrated that dexmedetomidine has a biphasic effect that enhanced inflammation at high clinical concentrations (10, 1, and 0.1 µM) and inhibited inflammation at the lowest clinical concentration (0.001 µM), and this mechanism was related to NF-κB and JNK-MAPK signalling pathway. However, beyond this concentration, dexmedetomidine did not significantly influence pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory cytokine expression that had been enhanced by LPS stimulation of DCs. Midazolam at the highest clinical dosage and 5 times this dose concentration-dependently inhibited LPS-induced TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 expression at mRNA and protein levels. Interestingly, IL-10 expression was also inhibited by midazolam. This indicated that midazolam-mediated inhibition of the maturation of DCs may play a role in its anti-inflammatory action (18). Propofol can partly inhibit LPS-induced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1β and IL-6, and its anti-inflammatory effect may be achieved by inhibiting JNK-MAPK signalling, and enhancing NF-κB and ERK-MAPK signalling at clinical concentrations.

The numbers of reports about the effects of propofol on inflammation outnumber those of the other two sedatives (29). Though the inhibit effect of propofol on cytokine were similar seen in many previous study, our study shows that propofol could enhanced LPS-induced COX2, that may be because of the difference cells. As antigen-processing and presenting cells, activation of DCs in inflammation was earlier than others in their study, like macrophage and microglia (30-32). Moreover, the concentration of propofol based on clinical blood concentration in our study was lower than the study on DC (33).

Inflammatory cytokines are closely associated with inflammatory signaling, particularly with signalling via the NF-κB and MAPK pathways. We investigated whether the drugs studied influenced either or both pathways. In order to explore NF-κB signaling, we detected IκB-α, an important component of the NF-κB complex that can be degraded from the complex, by western blotting. Transcription factors such as NF-κB also mediate the expression of some inducible genes, such as the gene encoding COX-2. When LPS binds to its target protein on the surface of cells, it induces signaling via the CD14 receptor and activation of IκB kinase. Once IκB is phosphorylated in the IκB-NF-κB complex, IκB is degraded, and the free NF-κB translocates from the cytoplasm into the nucleus where it induces COX2 expression (12,34). We showed that dexmedetomidine in high concentration could increase IκB-α degradation and enhance LPS-induced COX-2 expression. Similar effects were seen after co-treatment of cells with propofol at clinically relevant concentrations and LPS, but the suppressive effect of propofol was much more marked than that of dexmedetomidine. In contrast, co-treatment of cells with LPS and midazolam markedly inhibited the NF-κB signaling, by suppressing IκB-α degradation, and thereby decreasing the expression of COX2.

ERK, p38, and JNK protein are three different but important proteins in the MAPK signaling pathway (35). Our study corroborated data from a previous study, which showed that LPS could enhance the expression of ERK-MAPK, p38-MAPK, and JNK-MAPK markedly in DCs (13). However, we show for the first time that dexmedetomidine could enhance the LPS-induced ERK and JNK signaling at a high clinical concentration. JNK-MAPK also contributed to the anti-inflammatory effect of midazolam. In our study, propofol enhanced ERK-MAPK, but inhibited JNK-MAPK signalling. LPS-stimulated p38 MAPK signaling was not markedly changed by an of the three drugs.

Interestingly, after stimulation of DCs with LPS, a significant enhancement of COX-2 protein expression and NF-κB signalling could be seen with treatment with high clinical concentrations of dexmedetomidine, but the cytokine levels were not markedly affected. Two reasons may account for this phenomenon. First, the expression of cytokines is regulated by many factors besides the NF-κB pathway, such as their inducer genes, or their levels per se (11,36). Second, beside binding to the highly selective α2-adrenergic receptors, dexmedetomidine can also bind to other kinds of receptors that could affect inflammation in the opposite direction, as may be supported by the biphasic effect noted in this and a previous study (6). Furthermore, as also noted in previous studies, propofol had a partial and slightly anti-inflammatory effect on DCs. For the first time, our study found that this effect may be realized through suppression of the JNK pathway, which perhaps inhibits maturation of DCs (37). However, it should be mentioned that COX-2, NF-κB, and ERK-MAPK were enhanced significantly at the same time. Previous studies had reported different effects of ERK-, Ep38-, and JNK-MAPKs (13). It is possible that, as with dexmedetomidine, other kinds of receptors could be involved in the inflammatory effects. The difference may also be related to the specific function of DCs, viz., their
antigen-processing and -presenting capacity. It may be beneficial to have a weak response to LPS-induced inflammation at first. This may account for the anti-inflammatory response in individuals with infection who are receiving dexmedetomidine or propofol, as compared with midazolam and other sedatives (3,38,39).

The study had some limitations. Firstly, this study employed a transformed DC line of murine origin (DC2.4 cells) rather than fresh murine or human DCs. Secondly, although the mechanism underlying the effect of the sedative on inflammation was investigated, the mechanism was not fully elucidated, as specific antagonists or inhibitors of the relevant factors were not employed. In the future, studies should make use of selective antagonists against the sedatives, or the NF-κB and MAPK pathways. Moreover, as antigen-processing and -presenting cells, the migratory capacity of DCs and cell-surface molecules related to their maturity were not investigated, and should be studied in the future.

In conclusion, this study yielded a number of novel insights. i) Midazolam can markedly inhibit LPS-induced inflammatory responses of DCs, and the NF-κB and JNK-MAPK pathways are suppressed during this process. ii) Dexmedetomidine has a biphasic effect that enhanced inflammation at high clinical concentrations (10, 1, and 0.1 µM) and inhibited inflammation at the lowest clinical concentration (0.001 µM), and this mechanism was related to NF-κB and JNK-MAPK signaling. iii) Propofol can partly inhibit LPS-induced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1β and IL-6, and its anti-inflammatory effect may be achieved by inhibition of JNK-MAPK, and enhanced NF-κB and ERK-MAPK signaling, at clinical concentrations. iv) Although both midazolam and propofol at clinical dosages show anti-inflammatory properties in LPS-induced inflammation, which of the former was more marked. Thus, this study helped to elucidate the function of sedatives in inflammation. In addition, it facilitates rational implementation of these three sedatives in patients undergoing tracheal intubation with sepsis or multiple organ dysfunction syndrome.
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