Enoyl-coenzyme A hydratase short chain 1 silencing attenuates the proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma by inhibiting epidermal growth factor signaling in vitro and in vivo
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Abstract. Enoyl-coenzyme A hydratase short chain 1 (ECHS1) regulates fatty acid metabolism and is an essential factor in tumor development. The present study aimed to investigate the molecular mechanisms of ECHS1 in hepatocellular carcinogenesis by studying proliferation and survival in ECHS1 knocked-down hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell lines, HepG2 and HuH7. The effect of ECHS1 on tumor development was investigated by tumor transplantation in nude mice, and the signaling pathways involved in the ECHS1-mediated regulation of HCC cell proliferation were identified by western blot analysis. The silencing of ECHS1 suppressed HCC cell proliferation in vitro and suppressed the growth of transplanted tumors in vivo. In addition, the phosphorylation of EGFR and its downstream effectors ERK1/2 and AKT was downregulated in ECHS1 knocked-down cells and tumor tissues. Furthermore, knockdown of ECHS1 in HCC suppressed cyclin D3 and cyclin dependent kinase 6 expression, whilst enhancing p16 and p21 expression. Therefore, ECHS1 may also be involved in cell cycle progression in HCC cells. These results suggested that ECHS1 may promote cell proliferation in HCC in an EGFR-dependent manner.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most common malignant cancer and the third leading cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide (1). Despite remarkable achievements in improving HCC treatment, patient prognosis generally remains very poor (2). Although numerous molecules and signaling pathways involved in the development of HCC have been identified (3-6), the molecular mechanisms underlying the tumorigenesis and proliferation of HCC have remained elusive.

Enoyl-coenzyme A (CoA) hydratase short chain 1 (ECHS1) catalyzes the hydration of 2-trans-enoyl-CoA intermediates to form L-3-hydroxyacyl-CoAs, which constitutes the second step in the β-oxidation pathway of fatty acid metabolism (7). In addition to its role in fatty acid metabolism, ECHS1 may also be involved in tumor development. A proteomics study revealed that ECHS1 was consistently upregulated in prostate cancer tissues and is therefore considered a candidate disease biomarker in prostate needle-biopsy specimens (8). ECHS1 was also identified as a candidate gene in human colorectal carcinogenesis (9,10). Downregulation of ECHS1 enhances PP2-induced apoptosis in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells (11). Chang et al demonstrated that ECHS1 interacts with and inhibits signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 phosphorylation, transcriptional activity and subsequent target gene expression (12). The results of a previous study by our group confirmed ECHS1 as a novel hepatitis B surface antigen (HB) binding protein that enhances HepG2 cell apoptosis by reducing the mitochondrial membrane potential; and explored the potential role of ECHS1 in HCC (13), although the precise molecular mechanisms of ECHS1 in HCC progression remain to be elucidated.

Several studies have demonstrated aberrant activation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in HCC carcinogenesis (14-17). Significant alterations in the downstream cellular signaling cascades, including phosphoinositide 3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and Raf/mitogen activated protein kinase kinase (MEK)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), as well as...
as altered gene expression result in hepatoma formation via increased proliferation, cell-cycle progression and apoptotic resistance (14,18-20). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying these effects have remained elusive. The present study aimed to investigate the molecular mechanisms of ECHS1 in HCC cells in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Xiamen University (no. 20081009; Xiamen, China). All procedures involving experimental animals were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Committee for Animal Research of Xiamen University (Xiamen, China) and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Institutes of Health Publication no. 86-23, revised 1985).

Cell cultures and reagents. HepG2 and HuH7 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, 10 mg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids at 37°C with 5% CO₂ (All from Gibco Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Construction of ECHS1-interfering vectors. A plasmid encoding a short interfering RNA (siRNA) targeted to ECHS1 was constructed as described previously (13). Briefly, two siRNA target sequences (5'-GCCCATATCGTTTCATAGCTT-3' and 5'-GTGATGAGATGTGAGCCAATT-3') for the ECHS1 gene (siECHS1-1 and siECHS1-2) were selected using the RNAi Target Selector algorithm (http://www.clontech.com/GB/Support/Online_Tools), and the most efficient of the two (siECHS1-2) was selected for use using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) in the present study. The oligonucleotides were annealed, to form siRNA duplexes, and were cloned into the BbsI and XbaI restriction sites of the pu6 vector (Dharmacon, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and named siECHS1-1 and siECHS1-2. The final clones were verified by DNA sequencing (Beijing Genomics Institution, Beijing, China).

Establishment of stable ECHS1-knockdown cell pools. HepG2 and HuH7 cells were transfected with pu6-siECHS1 using Lipofectamine® 2000 reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cells transfected with empty pu6 vectors served as controls. Cells were cultured in medium containing 1 µg/ml puromycin (Invitrogen Life Technologies) for selection (21,22). Following two weeks of culture, ECHS1 protein expression was examined by western blotting (as described in the ‘Western blot analysis’ section) to validate knockdown efficiency; and these experiments were repeated three times. The ECHS1-knockdown cell lines were named HepG2-siECHS1 and HuH7-siECHS1 and the control cell lines were named HepG2-pu6 and HuH7-pu6.

Cell proliferation assays. Cell proliferation was measured by Cell Counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan). Cells were cultured at a density of ~2,500 cells/well in a 96-well plate, in which 10 µl CCK-8 was added to 100 µl culture medium. The cells were incubated for 1.5 h at 37°C and absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a Fluorescence Multi-Well Plate Reader CytoFluor 4000-2 (PerSeptive Bio-systems, Inc., Framingham, MA, USA). Experiments were repeated three times.

The 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine (BrdU) assay was performed with the BrdU Cell Proliferation Assay kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA). Briefly, cells were seeded into three wells of a 96-well plate at 0.5x10⁴, 1x10⁴ and 2x10⁴ cells/well and incubated at room temperature for 24 h. BrdU (10 µl) was added to each well and incubated at room temperature for an additional 4 h. Following removal of the medium, 100 µl/well Fixing/Denaturing solution was added and incubated at room temperature for 30 min, followed by antibody detection solution for 1 h. The plate was washed three times and the anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody was added and incubated for 30 min, followed by 100 µl TMB Substrate for 30 min. The reaction was terminated with STOP solution and absorbance was measured at 450 nm on the PerSeptive Bio-systems, Inc. microplate reader.

Enzymatic activity of ECHS1. ECHS1 activity was assayed according to the manufacturer's instructions of the Cellular Short Chain ENOYL COA HYDRATASE Activity Assay kit (Genmed Scientifics, Inc., Arlington, MA, USA). Activity was measured by determining absorbance at 263 nm with the PerSeptive Bio-systems, Inc. microplate reader. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method (23) with Quick Start Bradford Protein Assay kit 1 assay solution and bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used as the standard (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA).

Xenograft assay. To determine the oncogenicity of ECHS1, ten 4-6 month-old male nude mice were randomly divided into two groups and administered 6x10⁶ HepG2-siECHS1 or HepG2-pu6 cells/mouse via injection to the gluteal region. HepG2 cells were selected for use, due to Huh7 cells exhibiting poor tumorigenesis effects in preliminary experiments. Tumor size was assessed every three days using calipers and the tumor volume was calculated using the formula: [length (mm) x width (mm)²]/2.

Western blot analysis. The total protein was extracted from cells and tissue specimens using Mammalian Cell Lysis reagent (Fermentas; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The proteins were resolved using 10, 12 or 15% SDS-PAGE and detected with the appropriate antibodies. The following primary antibodies were all purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA, USA), apart from those against ECHS1 and EGFR which were purchased from ProteinTech Group, Inc. (Chicago, IL, USA): Mouse monoclonal proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA; 1:1,000; #2586), rabbit monoclonal AKT (1:1,000; #4691), rabbit monoclonal phosphorylated (p)-Akt(Ser473) (1:1,000; #4060), ERK1/2 (1:1,000; #4695),...
rabbit monoclonal p-ERK1/2(Thr202/Tyr204) (1:1,000; #4370), rabbit polyclonal nuclear factor (NF)-κB (1:1,000; #4882), rabbit polyclonal p-NF-κB (1:1,000; #4810), rabbit monoclonal p-EGFR(Tyr1068) (1:1,000; #11862), rabbit monoclonal glycogen synthase kinase (GSK)-3β (1:1,000; #12456), rabbit monoclonal p-GSK-3β(Ser9) (1:1,000; #5558), rabbit monoclonal C-Myc (1:1,000; #13987), rabbit monoclonal β-catenin (1:1,000; #8480), rabbit monoclonal cyclin dependent kinase (CDK)4 (1:1,000; #12790), rabbit monoclonal CDK6 (1:1,000; #13331), rabbit monoclonal cyclin D1 (1:1,000; #2978), rabbit monoclonal cyclin D3 (1:1,000; #2936), rabbit monoclonal p15 (1:1,000; #4822), rabbit polyclonal p16 (1:1,000; #4824), mouse monoclonal p21 (1:1,000; #2946), rabbit monoclonal p27 (1:1,000; #3686), rabbit monoclonal ECHS1 (1:2,000; 11305-1-AP) and rabbit polyclonal EGFR (1:3,000; 18986-1-AP). The antibodies were diluted in 5% w/v BSA, 1X Tris-buffered saline and 0.1% Tween-20 (Amresco, Solon, OH, USA) at 4°C with gentle shaking, overnight. Subsequently, the membranes were washed and incubated with the following secondary antibodies from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.: Anti-mouse IgG (1:2,500; #7076) and anti-rabbit IgG (1:2500; #7074) conjugated to horseradish peroxidase.

**Immunoreactivity was detected with an Enhanced Chemiluminescence kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Chalfont, UK) and quantified using densitometry with the ImageQuant LAS 4000 mini system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).** Tubulin (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) was used as a loading control.
Statistical analysis. Experimental data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of at least three independent experiments, as calculated using SPSS, version 13.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The independent-samples and paired-samples t-tests were used to compare data. P < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Statistical graphs with error bars representing the standard deviation of the mean were created using GraphPad Prism 5 Software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

Establishment of stable ECHS1-knockdown cell pools using siRNA in human HepG2 and HuH7 cells. HepG2 and HuH7 cells were transfected with ECHS1 siRNA or the empty pu6 vector (control) to stably establish HepG2-siECHS1 and HuH7-siECHS1 ECHS1 knocked-down cell pools and HepG2-pu6 and HuH7-pu6 control cells. Western blot analysis revealed that ECHS1 expression was downregulated in the HepG2-siECHS1 and HuH7-siECHS1 cells compared with that of the HepG2-pu6 and HuH7-pu6 cells, respectively (Fig. 1A). As expected, the enzymatic activity of ECHS1 was attenuated in the HepG2-siECHS1 and HuH7-siECHS1 cells compared with that of HepG2-pu6 and HuH7-pu6 cells (Fig. 1B).

Silencing of ECHS1 attenuates HCC cell proliferation in vitro. A reduction in the proliferation rate of HepG2-siECHS1 and HuH7-siECHS1 cells compared with that of the control cells was identified by CCK8 assay following 24, 36, 48 and 72 h of incubation (Fig. 1C and D). The BrdU staining results at 0.5x10^4, 1x10^4 and 2x10^4 cells/well following 24 h of incubation were consistent with those of the CCK-8 assay (Fig. 1E and F). PCNA, a proliferation marker, was also downregulated in HepG2-siECHS1 and HuH7-siECHS1 cells (Fig. 1A). Therefore, silencing of ECHS1 inhibited the proliferation of HepG2 and HuH7 cells.
Silencing of ECHS1 suppresses xenograft tumor growth. ECHS1 knockdown suppressed HCC cell proliferation in vitro; therefore, the role of ECHS1 in HCC development in vivo was subsequently evaluated. Nude mice were subcutaneously injected with HepG2-siECHS1 and HepG2-pu6 cells to induce xenograft tumors (Fig. 2A and B), which developed over time (Fig. 2C). Slower growth was observed in tumors derived from HepG2-siECHS1 cells than in those derived from HepG2-pu6 cells (Fig. 2A-C). Western blotting verified that ECHS1 knockdown was maintained in the transplanted tumors, and PCNA expression remained lower in mice injected with HepG2-siECHS1 cells than those of the controls (Fig. 2D and E). Therefore it was concluded that the absence of ECHS1 was responsible for the inhibition of tumor growth.

**ECHS1 regulates HCC cell proliferation via the EGFR signaling pathway.** To define the signaling pathways involved in ECHS1-mediated regulation of HCC cell proliferation, western blotting was used to detect the phosphorylation levels of EGFR, AKT, and ERK, which mediate proliferation, survival, apoptosis and cell cycle progression. The expression levels of p-EGFR, p-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) and p-AKT (Ser473) were markedly decreased in HepG2-siECHS1 and HuH7-siECHS1 cells compared with those of the control cells (Fig. 3A and B). EGFR, ERK1/2 and AKT phosphorylation were also analyzed in xenograft tumors derived from ECHS1 knock-down cells. Consistent with the results of the in vitro study, the phosphorylation of EGFR, ERK1/2 and AKT was down-regulated in ECHS1 knock-down tumor tissues at the end of the study period (Fig. 3C-F). The expression of GSK-3β, which is a
Major inhibitor of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway, was also assessed. Phosphorylation of GSK-3β at serine-9 was markedly reduced in HepG2-siECHS1 and HuH7-siECHS1 cells compared with that of the control cells (Fig. 4A), thereby increasing the negative regulatory effect of GSK-3β on the Wnt/β-catenin pathway. Concurrently, C-Myc and β-catenin expression were also downregulated in HepG2- and HuH7-siECHS1 cells (Fig. 4B). However, no significant differences in NF-κB phosphorylation were observed in these cells (data not shown). Therefore, it was hypothesized that ECHS1 may regulate cancer cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo via an EGFR-mediated signaling pathway.

_ECHS1 modulates the expression of cell cycle regulators._ The expression levels of various cell cycle regulators that are directly associated with cellular proliferation were evaluated. The expression of cyclin D3 and CDK6 was reduced in HepG2- and HuH7-siECHS1 cells (Fig. 4C), whereas expression of the CDK inhibitors p16 and p21 was enhanced (Fig. 4D). Expression levels of CDK4, cyclin D1, p15 and p27 were not significantly altered in HepG2- and HuH7-siECHS1 cells compared with those of the control cells (Fig. 4C and D). It was therefore confirmed that ECHS1 knockdown in HCC cells suppressed cyclin D3 and CDK6 expression and enhanced the expression of p16 and p21.

**Discussion**

Late diagnosis and high recurrence are the leading causes of poor survival amongst patients with HCC (24). Therefore,
elucidation of the molecular mechanisms that mediate HCC progression and the identification of efficient therapeutic targets are urgently required. A previous study by our group reported that ECHS1 binds HBs to enhance HepG2 cell apoptosis. It was also demonstrated that knockdown of endogenous ECHS1 attenuated the cell growth, proliferation and migration of HepG2 cells. Furthermore, the involvement of pro-apoptotic and pro-survival proteins and Akt phosphorylation levels in HepG2 cells was defined, suggesting that ECHS1 is a significant regulator of HCC progression (13). Zhu et al (25) confirmed that ECHS1 knockdown inhibited HCC cell proliferation via suppression of AKT activity in HepG2 cells. However, to the best of our knowledge, the upstream and downstream regulators of this signaling cascade have not previously been investigated, and the mechanisms underlying the involvement of ECHS1 in HCC proliferation have remained elusive.

In the present study, the high expression levels of ECHS1 in human HepG2 and Huh-7 cells were verified. Subsequently, the suppression of proliferation in HepG2 and Huh-7 cells by stable ECHS1 knockdown was observed. Tumors derived from transplanted HepG2-siECHS1 cells grew more slowly than tumors derived from HepG2-pu6 cells. The downregulation of proliferation marker PCNA (26) in ECHS1 knockdown cells was also observed in vitro and in vivo. These results suggested that ECHS1 mediates HCC proliferation; however, elucidation of the mechanisms underlying this process require further investigation.

The EGFR-induced mitogenic signaling pathway is activated in various malignancies, including HCC (14-17). EGFR phosphorylation leads to activation of the PI3K/Akt and MEK/ERK signaling cascades, which are involved in cell proliferation, survival, motility, differentiation and angiogenesis (27-29). EGFR induces activation of the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway via Grb2 or Shc adaptor proteins, and the PI3K/akt/mTOR pathway via recruitment of the p85 regulatory subunit to the activated receptors (30). In the present study, EGFR phosphorylation levels were reduced in ECHS1 knock-down HepG2 and Huh7 cells; and the expression of downstream effectors p-AKT and p-ERK were also reduced in vitro and in xenograft tumors. p-ERK1/2 and p-Akt (ser473) are highly expressed in HCC tissues, and activation of the ERK and AKT pathways is indicative of poor prognosis in patients with HCC (31). Certain studies have focused on evaluating the synergy between the PI3K/Akt and MEK/ERK pathways in HCC (31,32). It was therefore hypothesized that ECHS1 may function via PI3K/Akt and MEK/ERK signaling to mediate liver tumor development in an EGFR-dependent manner.

Akt-mediated phosphorylation of GSK-3β also influences the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and the epithelial mesenchymal transition (33). As expected, serine-9 phosphorylation of GSK-3β was reduced in HepG2- and Huh7-siECHS1 cells compared with that of the controls, which may thereby increase the negative effect of GSK-3β on the Wnt/β-catenin pathway, leading to downregulation of β-catenin. Upon activation of the Wnt pathway, β-catenin forms a complex with B-cell lymphoma-9, Pygo, plakoglobin and T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancing factor, which results in the transcription of critical genes including cyclin D1, c-Myc, SALL4 and PPARδ (33). In the present study, β-catenin, c-Myc and cyclin D3 expression were decreased in response to p-GSK3β downregulation in ECHS1-knockdown HepG2 and Huh7 cells. It was therefore hypothesized that ECHS1 may regulate the PI3K/Akt and MEK/ERK pathways by targeting EGFR, leading to activation of the downstream signaling cascade and promoting HCC progression. However, the specific mechanisms underlying this function require further investigation.

Previous studies have demonstrated that EGFR regulates the cell cycle via the PI3K/Akt and MEK/ERK signaling pathways (34,35). Akt regulates cell cycle progression by inducing GSK-3β inhibition (36), cyclin D1 degradation and p21 upregulation (37). Cell cycle regulators were therefore evaluated and it was revealed that knockdown of ECHS1 suppressed cyclin D1/CDK4/6 complex formation and enhanced expression of the negative upstream regulators p16 and p21.

In conclusion, ECHS1 promoted the development of human HCC by regulating cell proliferation and cell cycle progression. Consistent with a previous study by our group, which indicated that ECHS1 may be involved in the progression of HCC (13), the results of the present study revealed a mechanistic link between ECHS1 and EGFR signal activation through PI3K/Akt and c-Raf/MEK/ERK. Moreover, ECHS1 modulated the Wnt/β-catenin pathway through p-GSK-3β(ser9) and the expression of cell cycle markers, including cyclin D1/3, CDK4/6, p16 and p21. These results provide an insight into the critical role of ECHS1 in the intricate EGFR signal transduction network, which may result in the development of novel interventions using EGFR-targeted therapies for the treatment of HCC. However, the precise mechanism by which ECHS1 regulates EGFR requires further evaluation.
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