Acylglycerol kinase promotes the proliferation and cell cycle progression of oral squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract. Cell proliferation is a major underlying cause of mortality amongst patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC); however, the underlying mechanisms have remained to be elucidated. Acylglycerol kinase (AGK) is a multisubstrate lipid kinase, which is known to be associated with the progression of various types of human cancer. The present study aimed to investigate the role of AGK in cell proliferation and cell cycle progression in OSCC. The expression levels of AGK were detected in cancerous and adjacent normal tissue samples from four patients with OSCC undergoing surgical resection, and in OSCC cell lines, using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and western blot analysis. The effects of AGK on the proliferation and cell cycle progression of OSCC cells were assessed using a short hairpin RNA lentivirus or expressed-plasmid transfection. In addition, the expression levels of cyclin D1 and p21, as well as cell proliferation- and cell cycle-associated proteins were detected by PCR and western blotting. The results of the present study demonstrated that the expression levels of AGK were significantly higher in the cancerous tissues and OSCC cell lines, compared with the adjacent normal tissues and control cells, respectively. Furthermore, MTT and colony formation assays, in addition to flow cytometric analysis were conducted, in order to assess the role of AGK in cell proliferation and cell cycle progression. The cell proliferation and cell cycle progression of an established OSCC cell line were demonstrated to be decreased following AGK knockdown, and enhanced by AGK overexpression in vitro. Aberrant AGK expression in OSCC was shown to be associated with cell proliferation and cell cycle progression. The results of the present study provide evidence that AGK may promote cell proliferation and cell cycle progression in OSCC.

Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most common oral and maxillofacial malignancy, which is associated with high levels of incidence and mortality (1). Recently, the survival of patients with OSCC has improved, due to improvements in diagnostic and therapeutic strategies (2); however, OSCC remains a serious global health issue and therefore requires further study.

Acylglycerol kinase (AGK) is a multisubstrate lipid kinase (3). Overexpression of AGK has previously been shown to promote the proliferation of prostate cancer cells, suggesting that AGK may act as a potent oncogene (4,5). However, the underlying mechanisms of the effects of AGK on cell proliferation and cell cycle progression of OSCC remain to be elucidated. The cell cycle is a highly evolutionarily conserved mechanism, which facilitates the growth and proliferation of cells. Among the cyclin classes, the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor p21 and cyclin D1 are two proteins that have been demonstrated to negatively and positively control cell cycle progression and cell proliferation, respectively (6,7). Cyclin D1 exerts its effects by binding the CDK subunits 4 and 6, resulting in the formation of a complex, which induces successive phosphorylation of the Rb protein (8).

The present study aimed to elucidate whether AGK was overexpressed in OSCC, and whether AGK expression was correlated with cell proliferation and cell cycle progression. To determine how AGK influences OSCC cell proliferation and cell cycle progression, the expression levels of proteins regulating cell cycle transition, including p21 and cyclin D1, were investigated.

Patients and methods

OSCC patient samples. Four patients, including two males and two females, between 42 and 78 years old with OSCC at clinical stage III or IV undergoing surgical resection at Linyi People’s Hospital (Linyi, China) between January 1 and July 1, 2013 were recruited, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Tumor tissue and adjacent non-tumor tissue samples were obtained from resected tumors and adjacent non-tumor esophageal tissue, respectively. The tissue was confirmed by pathological review. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Linyi People’s Hospital.
OSCC cell culture and transfection. The SCC-9, SCC-25, TSCCa and Tca-8113 OSCC cell lines, in addition to tongue epithelial cells (TEC) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ and 95% air.

The TSCCa cells were transfected with lentiviral vectors encoding short hairpin (sh)RNA targeting human AGK for AGK knockdown [AGK/RNA interference (RNAi)], or with a scrambled shRNA as a control (Scramble) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The multiplicity of infection was 10, and the cells were cultured for 72 h post-transfection, and were grown to 80% confluency in 60-mm dishes. TSCCa cells were seeded in six-well plates at a density of 3x10⁵ cells/well in DMEM at 37°C overnight. A total of 10 ng AGK plasmid (ExAGK) or empty plasmid (ExControl) (Sigma-Aldrich) was transfected into the cells using Lipofectamine® 2000 (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The cells were cultured for 48 h post-transfection in DMEM containing 10% FBS, at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Western blot analysis. Nuclear or total protein was extracted from the OSCC cells and tissue samples using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA). For immunoblotting, equal quantities of proteins (20 µg) were separated by 5-8% SDS-PAGE (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China) and were electrophoretically transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked in Tris-buffered saline-0.5% Tween 20 (TBST; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) supplemented with 5% milk (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) for 2 h at room temperature, prior to incubation with primary antibodies (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) overnight at 4°C. The following rabbit antibodies were used: Polyclonal anti-AGK (HPA020959; Sigma-Aldrich), polyclonal anti-cyclin D1 (#2922; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), polyclonal anti-p21 (SAB4500065; Sigma-Aldrich), polyclonal anti-retinoblastoma (Rb) (#9969; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) and monoclonal anti-phosphorylated (p)-Rb (#8180; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). All antibodies were used at dilutions of 1:1,000 unless stated. To control sample loading, the membranes were incubated with an anti-β-actin antibody (1:5,000; Sigma-Aldrich). The membranes were subsequently washed with TBST and incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (MFCDD00162786; Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 h at room temperature. Immunocomplexes were visualized using the ECL Advance western blotting detection kit (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was extracted from the OSCC cells using TRIzol (Qiagen China Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). cDNA synthesis was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions using the QuantiNova SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen China Co., Ltd.). qPCR was performed using the SYBR PCR kit (Qiagen China Co., Ltd.) on a 7500 Sequence Detection system (Applied Biosystems Life Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA). The PCR reaction conditions for all assays were as follows: 95°C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles of amplification (95°C for 5 sec, 59°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec). Target RNA expression was normalized against β-actin mRNA expression. The primers were synthesized by GeneCopoeia Co. (Rockville, MD, USA). The primer sequences were as follows: AGK forward, 5'-AGGCTTGCGTCTACTG-3' and reverse, 5'-TGGTGACGA GCTGCAACATCT-3' (4); cyclin D1 forward, 5'-AACTACCTG GACGCTTCTCT-3' and reverse, 5'-CCACTTGAAGCTTGTT CACCA-3'; p21 forward, 5'-CATGGTTTGTGCAGGACAT-3' and reverse, 5'-AGTCAGTTCCCTTGGAGACC-3'; and β-actin forward, 5'-CCATGTCAGTGTCTATCCAG-3' and reverse, 5'-TCTCCCTTATGTCAAGCAGA-3'. Expression data were normalized to the geometric mean of β-actin to control the variability in expression levels and were calculated as 2-[(Ct of AGK, Cyclin D1 and p21) - (Ct of β-actin)].

MTT and colony formation assays. Cell growth was assessed by MTT assay. The TSCCa cells were seeded in 96-well plates in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS at ∼2x10⁴ cells/well. For quantification of cell viability, the cultures were stained following 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 days of incubation. Briefly, 20 µl 5 mg/ml MTT solution (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 4 h. The medium was then removed from each well and the resulting MTT formazan crystals were solubilized in 150 µl dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich). The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 490 nm using a Multiskan plate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

For the colony formation assay, TSCCa cells were plated in three 6-cm cell culture dishes (1x10⁵ cells/dish) and incubated for 12 days in medium supplemented with 10% FBS at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. The plates were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stained with Giemsa (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). The number of colonies containing >50 cells was manually counted in 10 fields using a Motic AE30 inverted fluorescence microscope (Microscope Systems Limited, Glasgow, UK) at magnification, x100.

Flow cytometric analysis. Flow cytometric analysis was performed as previously described (8). Briefly, 48 h post-transfection, the TSCCa cells were harvested, washed with PBS and fixed with 70% ethanol. The fixed cells were subsequently treated with 20 µg/ml RNase A and 50 µg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min. The stained cells were immediately analyzed using a FACScan flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous data were measured using Student's t-test, and differences in measurements were compared using one-way analysis of variance. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results
AGK expression is upregulated in OSCC cell lines and human OSCC tissues. To determine whether AGK contributed to the
pathogenesis of OSCC, the present study examined the expression levels of AGK in OSCC cell lines and human OSCC tissue samples. The protein and mRNA expression levels of AGK were differentially upregulated in all four OSCC cell lines, as compared with normal TECs, and in all four OSCC patient tissue samples, as compared with the paired adjacent non-tumor tissues; T, primary OSCC tissues.

Figure 1. AGK expression levels in OSCC cell lines and human OSCC tissue. (A) mRNA expression levels of AGK in TEC and four OSCC cell lines, as determined by PCR. (B) mRNA expression levels of AGK in four paired primary OSCC tissues and the matched ANT from the same patient, as determined by PCR. (C) Western blotting was performed to detect the protein expression levels of AGK in TEC cells and four OSCC cell lines. β-actin was used as a loading control. (D) Western blotting was performed to detect the protein expression levels of AGK in four paired primary OSCC tissues and matched ANT from the same patient. β-actin was used as a loading control. Values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, OSCC cell lines vs. TEC. AGK, acylglycerol kinase; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; mRNA, messenger RNA; TEC, tongue epithelial cells; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; ANT, adjacent non-tumor tissues; T, primary OSCC tissues.

Figure 2. AGK overexpression promotes oral squamous cell carcinoma cell proliferation, whereas AGK knockdown inhibits cell proliferation. (A) Validation of AGK protein expression levels post-transfection by western blot analysis. (B) Validation of post-transfection AGK mRNA expression levels by polymerase chain reaction. (C) AGK overexpression enhanced the rate of proliferation, whereas AGK knockdown decreased the rate of proliferation, as determined by MTT assay (Day 5, P<0.05). (D) AGK overexpression markedly increased colony number, whereas AGK knockdown decreased colony number, as determined by colony formation assay. Values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, AGK vs. vector or AGK/RNAi vs. scramble. AGK, acylglycerol kinase; mRNA, messenger RNA; RNAi, RNA interference.
AGK overexpression in OSCC cell lines promotes cell proliferation. To determine whether AGK promoted the proliferation of OSCC cells, plasmid transfection and shRNA knockdown were performed in TSCCa cells. Transfection with the AGK plasmid significantly upregulated the protein and mRNA expression levels of AGK, whereas transfection with the AGK shRNA decreased AGK protein and mRNA expression levels in the TSCCa cells (Fig. 2A and B). The proliferation rate of the TSCCa cells was determined using an MTT assay. AGK upregulation promoted cell growth, whereas a significant decrease in proliferation was observed in the TSCCa cells transfected with the AGK shRNA, as compared with the negative control (P<0.05; Fig. 2C). Consistent with the results of the MTT assay, the colony formation assay demonstrated that AGK overexpression in TSCCa cells induced an increase in foci numbers, whereas AGK knockdown decreased the colony forming ability of the cells (P<0.05; Fig. 2D).

AGK regulates cell cycle progression, and expression of cyclin D1 and p21 in OSCC cells. To investigate the mechanisms underlying cell proliferation, the effects of AGK on cell cycle progression, and the expression of cell cycle-associated proteins cyclin D1, p21, Rb and p-Rb were evaluated. CDK inhibitor p21 and cyclin D1 are two proteins targeted by AGK, which negatively and positively modulate cell cycle progression and proliferation, respectively (6). Cyclin D1 exerts its effects by binding the CDK subunits 4 and 6, resulting in the formation of a complex, which induces successive phosphorylation of the Rb protein (9). The results of the present study demonstrated that the overexpression of AGK resulted in upregulation of the protein and mRNA expression levels of cyclin D1, and increased the expression levels of p-Rb; while p21 expression levels were downregulated (Fig. 3). Conversely, knockdown of AGK resulted in reduced mRNA and protein expression levels of cyclin D1 and p-Rb, whereas the expression levels of p21 were increased (Fig. 3). These results suggested that AGK influenced p21 and cyclin D1 expression in way that means increases in AGK expression may be able to accelerate cell cycle progression and cell proliferation. In order to evaluate this hypothesis, the present study aimed to analyze cell cycle distribution. The percentage of cells in the G0/G1 phase was demonstrated to be decreased in those cells overexpressing AGK; whereas it was increased in the AGK knockdown cells. Conversely, the percentage of cells in the S phase was increased in the cells transfected with AGK plasmid and decreased in the cells transfected with AGK shRNA (Fig. 4). These results suggested that AGK expression...
may promote malignant cancer growth by regulating the expression of cyclin D1 and p21, during the G1-S phase transition.

Discussion

The effects of AGK have been described in numerous types of cell; however, the role of AGK in cancer remains poorly understood and most relevant studies have been conducted in cell lines (4,10,11). Overexpression of AGK has previously been shown to constitutively activate janus kinase 2/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, consequently augmenting the tumorigenicity of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells (12). In addition, the expression of AGK has been shown to be associated with the development and progression of prostate cancer (13). The present study examined AGK expression levels in normal TECs, OSCC cell lines and human OSCC tissue samples. The expression levels of AGK were differentially upregulated in the OSCC cell lines, compared with those of the TECs. Furthermore, AGK was overexpressed in the tumor samples from patients with OSCC, compared with those of adjacent normal tissues. These results suggested that AGK may be involved with malignant processes in OSCC. The present study identified AGK as a significant factor in the modulation of tumor cell proliferation in OSCC.

To gain insight into the biological roles of AGK in OSCC tumorigenesis, AGK was upregulated and knocked down in the TSCCa cell line, and MTT and colony formation assays were subsequently used to detect cell proliferation. The results of the present study demonstrated that upregulation of AGK increased the rate of cell proliferation and colony formation, whereas AGK knockdown inhibited the rate of proliferation and colony formation. However, the underlying mechanisms remain to be elucidated. The majority of G1-S regulators have significant roles in tumor progression (14-17). Cyclin D1 is a vital protein that positively regulates cell cycle progression through the G1 to S phase transition (18,19). Aberrant cyclin D1 expression has been shown to contribute to the loss of normal cell cycle control (20), and cyclin D1 degradation is sufficient to induce G1/S/G0 cell cycle arrest in cancer cells (21-23). The transition to S phase is induced by the activation of the cyclin D/CDK complex, which phosphorylates Rb, a well-characterized regulator of cell proliferation (9,24,25). In addition, this complex activates cyclin E/CDK 2 by sequestering the CDK inhibitor p21 (7,26). p21 inhibits cell cycle progression at checkpoint G1, where it results in sustained G1 blockade (26,27). To elucidate the potential underlying mechanisms of the effects of AGK, the expression levels of numerous cell cycle-associated molecules, including cyclin D1 and p21, and cell cycle distribution were investigated. Overexpression of AGK increased the expression levels of cyclin D1 and p-Rb, whereas AGK knockdown reduced the expression levels of cyclin D1 and p-Rb. In addition, AGK overexpression resulted in a significant decrease in p21 expression levels, whereas knockdown of AGK increased the expression levels of p21. Flow cytometric analysis revealed that TSCCa cells transfected with an AGK plasmid entered S phase earlier, whereas knockdown of AGK arrested cell cycle progression at the G0/G1 boundary. Therefore, it was hypothesized that knockdown of AGK bound to the cyclin D1-CDK4/6 complex induced a reduction in cyclin D1 expression, which may disrupt the interaction between CDK4/6 and cyclin D1, resulting in cyclin D1 proteasome-dependent degradation, suppression of Rb phosphorylation and G1/S cell cycle arrest.

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have identified the specific molecules and their roles in the molecular mechanisms underlying OSCC tumor progression. The results of the present study provide evidence for the role of AGK as a potential tumor promoter. AGK was demonstrated to be upregulated in OSCC cells and tissues, and AGK upregulation promoted cell proliferation, cell cycle progression and cyclin D1 and p21 expression, whereas AGK knockdown had the opposite effect. These results identify AGK as a potential key molecule involved in OSCC, and provide a basis for the elucidation of the functional importance of AGK in the progression of OSCC.
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