Ginkgo biloba extract enhances chemotherapy sensitivity and reverses chemoresistance through suppression of the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 pathway in gastric cancer cells
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Abstract. Kinase suppressor of Ras 1 (KSR1) is a scaffold protein that modulates the activation of the oncogenic mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling pathway. Ginkgo biloba extract (EGb) 761 has been demonstrated to possess antitumor activity that may be related to the KSR1-mediated ERK signaling pathway. However, the roles and its underlying mechanism in gastric cancer are unclear. In the present study, 62 gastric cancer and matched normal tissues were exploited for immunohistochemistry and real-time fluorescent quantitative PCR detection. Results of the immunohistochemistry showed that the expression of ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 was correlated to the expression of KSR1 and p-KSR1 in the gastric cancer tissues, and the overexpression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 was significantly associated with histological grade, TNM stage, lymph node and distant metastasis. Compared with the normal tissues, the relative mRNA copy values of KSR1, ERK1 and ERK2 in the cancer tissues were 2.43±0.49, 2.10±0.44 and 3.65±0.94. In addition, the expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in human gastric cancer multidrug resistant SGC-7901/CDDP cells was higher than that in the SGC-7901 cells as detected by the methods of immunocytochemistry and western blot analysis. EGb 761 not only suppressed expression of these proteins induced by cisplatin (CDDP) and etoposide in SGC-7901 cells, but also inhibited expression of these proteins in the SGC-7901/CDDP cells. Meanwhile, the proliferation-suppressing and apoptosis-inducing capacities of CDDP and etoposide were enhanced following combined treatment with EGb 761. Moreover, EGb 761 reduced the malondialdehyde (MDA) content and elevated the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) in the tumor cells. These results confirmed that activation of the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 signaling pathway may contribute to tumorigenesis, metastasis and chemoresistance of human gastric cancer. EGb 761 enhanced the chemotherapy sensitivity and reversed the chemoresistance through suppression of the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 pathway in gastric cancer cells, and the underlying mechanism may be related to its antioxidative activity.

Introduction

Gastric cancer is one of the most prevalent malignancies in Eastern Asia and it is one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1). To date, chemotherapy is still an important treatment for patients with advanced gastric cancer. However, the efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents is severely limited due to chemoresistance and adverse side effects. Evidence has shown that chemotherapy sensitivity and chemoresistance are tightly correlated with signal transduction pathways and genetic events. Recently, kinase suppressor of Ras 1 (KSR1) and its downstream extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling pathway have received much attention (2).

KSR1 is an essential scaffold protein of the Ras/Raf/MAPK cascade that facilitates the activation of ERK (3). Studies have shown that the expression of KSR1 is upregulated in many types of cancer and is required for cell proliferation, apoptosis and cell-cycle reinitiation (4–6). Moreover, KSR1 was confirmed to contribute to tumorigenesis through the MAPK cascade in a mouse model (7,8). In addition, a screening experiment showed that the expression of KSR1 is correlated with cancer cell sensitivity to anticancer drugs (9). Metastasis suppressor KSR1 is involved in metastasis and invasion through the MAPK pathway (10–12).
nm23-H1 was found to bind directly to KSR1 and modulate the scaffold binding patterns, therefore facilitating its degradation and decreased ERK activation, resulting in elevated tumor cell sensitivity to cancer therapeutics (10). Our previous study also showed that etoposide activated the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway, which reduced the chemotherapy sensitivity of gastric cancer cells via suppressing expression of p53 and enhancing expression of c-Myc (11). These results indicate a significant role of the KSR1-mediated ERK signaling pathway in the pathogenesis of tumors and it might be a potential therapeutic target of chemotherapy resistance. The identification of an effective agent with few adverse side effects to suppress the KSR1-mediated ERK signaling pathway may be a potential method to reverse chemotherapy resistance in gastric cancer.

*Ginkgo biloba* extract (EGb), a natural antioxidant, is a well-known and inexpensive herb that has been used without side effects for centuries (12). Recently, EGb has attracted considerable attention for its antitumor properties. EGb was able to induce cell apoptosis, suppress cell proliferative, migration and tumor progression of cancer (13-15). Moreover, it was reported that EGb had chemopreventive effects in cancer cells and rat models through antiproliferation, antioxidant, anti-angiogenic and apoptosis-inducing activities (15,16). Further study indicated that the proliferation, migration and tube formation of endothelial cells were inhibited by EGb by inhibiting the Raf-MEK-ERK cascade (17). In gastric cancer, studies also showed that EGb increased antioxidative activity and inhibited the progression of gastric precancerous lesions and gastric cancer via regulation of cell proliferation and apoptosis (18,19). Our previous study also revealed that EGb 761 enhanced CDDP and etoposide-induced apoptosis of gastric cancer cells possibly by suppressing the protein expression of ERK and p-ERK (20). These findings provide a rational basis for tumor prevention and adjuvant therapy using EGb 761, and the KSR1/ERK signaling pathway may play an important role in this process.

In the present study, correlations of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK and p-ERK expression with clinicopathological parameters were investigated in gastric cancer tissues. Moreover, the effects of EGb 761 on oxidative stress, the KSR1-mediated ERK signaling pathway and the chemotherapy sensitivity of gastric cancer cells and of multidrug resistant gastric cancer cells were investigated. The aims were to investigate the role of the KSR1-mediated ERK signaling pathway in tumor progression and development of chemoresistance, and to explore the potential of EGb 761 in enhancing the chemotherapeutic sensitivity and reversing the chemoresistance of gastric cancer.

**Materials and methods**

**Tissue specimens.** A total of 62 fresh gastric cancer and matched distant normal gastric tissues of patients were collected from the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Guangxi, China. All tissues were obtained from surgery; one-half of each tissue was snap-frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C and the other half was formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. All patients had not received chemotherapy or radiation therapy before tumor resection. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Guangxi, China. Each patient provided consent in a written informed consent form and the Ethics Committee approved the consent procedure.

**Immunohistochemical staining.** Paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were serially sectioned at 4 µm. After being deparafinized and rehydrated, the sections were treated with 3.0% hydrogen peroxide in methanol and performed in a microwave for 15 min. Then, the sections were blocked with normal rabbit serum followed by incubation overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-human monoclonal ERK (1:100) and p-ERK (1:100) primary antibodies (both from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Beverly, MA, USA) or rabbit anti-human polyclonal KSR1 (1:200) and p-KSR1 (1:200) primary antibodies (both from Beijing Biosynthesis Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). The sections were washed with PBS and incubated with the secondary antibody at room temperature for 30 min, and sections were stained using a streptavidin-peroxidase detection system. Antibody binding was visualized using diaminobenzidine as chromogen and counterstained with hematoxylin. The sections incubated with PBS instead of the primary antibody served as a negative control.

The positive staining of cancer cells was estimated based on the extent and intensity. i) The extent of positive cells was scored as: 0, positive-staining cells ≤5%; 1, positive-staining cells 6-25%; 2, positive-staining cells 26-50%; and 3, positive-staining cells >50%. ii) The intensity of staining was scored as: 0, achoromatic; 1, light yellow; 2, yellow; and 3, brown. The scores from i and ii were multiplied to produce a weighted score for each case, and the staining grade was defined as negative (-, score ≤1), positive (+, score ≥2 and <4) or strong positive (+++, score ≥4).

**Real-time fluorescent quantitative PCR.** Total RNA was extracted from the gastric cancer and matched normal tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total-RNA using PrimeScript® First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Dalian, Liaolin, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time PCR was performed on the Applied Biosystems StepOne Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) using the comparative Ct quantitation method. The first-strand cDNA was subjected to PCR amplification with 40 cycles consisting of 95°C for 2 min, 60°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 5 min using the following primers: KSR1, 5'-AGG GCA TCG TAC ACA AAG ATC TCA-3' (sense) and 5'-GGG ACA GCT TTA GCT GGT TCT CAC-3' (antisense); ERK1, 5'-CGT TGG TAC AGG GCT CCA GAA-3' (sense) and 5'-CTG CCA GAA TGC AGC CTA CAGA-3' (antisense); ERK2, 5'-TCA TCG GCA TAC AAC ATC GTG A-3' (sense) and 5'-TCT CCA TCA GGT CCT GCA CAA-3' (antisense); GAPDH, 5'-AAG GTG AGG ACA GCC ATT CAC-3' (sense) and 5'-GGG GTCA TGG ATG GCA ACA ATA-3' (antisense). Ct values for duplicate samples were averaged and the amounts of mRNA relative to hprt were calculated using the ∆∆Ct method. All qRT-PCR reactions yielded products with single peak dissociation curves.

**Cell culture and survival analysis.** Human gastric cancer SGC-7901 and multidrug resistant SGC-7901/CDDP cell lines
were obtained from the Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences Cell Bank. Cells were cultivated in high glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; HyClone Co., Logan, UT, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C. Cell viability was determined using a colorimetric MTT assay (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA). In brief, the cells seeded in a 96-well plate from the different groups were treated with CDDP, etoposide and/or EGb 761. Cells treated with an equal amount of 0.9% NaCl instead of the drugs served as the control group. Then 20 µL solution of MTT was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 4 h. The solution was carefully removed, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Invitrogen) was added to each well to solubilize MTT. The absorbance (A) was measured at 490 nm, and the cell viability was expressed as A value of the experimental cells/control cells x100%.

Flow cytometric analysis. Cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in binding buffer at a density of 1x10^5 cells/ml, and cell apoptosis was detected using Annexin V-FITC/propidium iodine (PI) kits (Roche Co. Ltd., Basel, Switzerland). In brief, Annexin V-FITC was added to the sample and incubated for 20 min at room temperature in the dark, and then 5 µL PI buffer was added and incubated for 5 min at 4°C in the dark. Finally, the samples were evaluated by flow cytometry, and data were analyzed using CellQuest software.

Western blot analysis. Tissue or cell samples were lysed in lysis buffer, and the lysate was incubated on ice for 20 min and centrifuged at 15,184 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected for protein detection, and the concentration of total protein was evaluated by the BCA method. Then, 20 µg protein of each sample was separated on SDS-PAGE and electroblotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane followed by blocking with 5% non-fat milk in TBST. The membrane was then incubated with rabbit anti-human monoclonal β-actin (1:8,000) (Cell Signaling Technology), ERK (1:500) and p-ERK (1:500) primary antibodies or rabbit anti-human polyclonal KSR1 (1:200) and p-KSR1 (1:200) primary antibodies, and subsequently incubated with a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Finally, the protein signals were visualized using Pierce enhanced chemiluminescence reaction Western Blotting Substrate (Pierce Co., Rockford, IL, USA) and exposed to medical X-ray film. The blotting bands were scanned and quantitated by a densitometer. The relative expression level was expressed as the relative absorbance (A) value of the target protein/β-actin.

Immunocytochemistry. Cells were plated onto slides fixed in a culture dish at a density of 2x10^4 cells/ml, followed by treatment with EGb 761 or 0.9% NaCl for 24 h. The slides were fixed with ice-cold 100% methanol, quenched with 0.3% H2O2 and blocked with normal goat serum. After incubation for 30 min with the primary antibodies (the same as the western blot analysis) and washing, the biotinylated secondary antibodies were added for 30 min, washed, and followed by preformed avidin/DH-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase H complex for 30 min. Slides were then overlaid with DAB, rinsed, dried, mounted and coverslipped. Image Pro Plus analysis system was used to analyze the protein expression. Five visual fields of each slide were selected randomly, and the total area and accumulated optical density were detected. The expression level was expressed as average optical density (AOD): AOD = accumulated optical density/total area.

Analysis of oxidative stress levels in the gastric cancer cells. Cells were homogenized in PBS and centrifuged at 1,687 x g for 10 min at 4°C. As previously described in detail (21), the supernatant was obtained for detection of malondialdehyde (MDA) content and the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px). SOD activity was measured by the inhibition of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) reduction by the O2-generated by xanthine/xanthine oxidase system. One SOD activity unit was defined as the enzyme causing 50% inhibition in a 1-ml reaction solution/mg protein and the result was expressed as U/mg protein. GSH-Px activity was tested by measuring the reduction in glutathione (GSH)/min on the base of its catalysis. GSH reacts with 5'-dithiobis-p-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), and produces yellow-colored compounds, which are detected at 412 nm and represent a reduction in GSH. One unit of enzyme activity is defined as a decrease in...
Table I. Expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in gastric cancer and matched normal tissues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>KSR1</th>
<th>p-KSR1</th>
<th>ERK1/2</th>
<th>p-ERK1/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Positive, n (%)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>Positive, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer tissues</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40 (64.52)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>43 (69.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal tissues</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14 (22.58)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 (22.58)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive expression consists of + and ++. KSR1, kinase suppressor of Ras 1; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase.

Table II. Correlation between the expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in gastric cancer tissues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>ERK1/2 expression</th>
<th>p-ERK1/2 expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSR1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-KSR1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KSR1, kinase suppressor of Ras 1; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase.

1 µM GSH/min for 1 mg protein after the decrease in GSH of the non-enzymatic reaction is subtracted and the result is expressed as U/mg protein. MDA was assayed by the measurement of thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS) levels at 532 nm. The results are expressed as nmol/mg protein. All above measurements were performed according to the protocol specified in each kit.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The significance of the difference between the groups was assessed by the Student's two-tailed t-test. The significance between proteins and clinicopathological characteristics of the patients was assessed with the χ² test. The correlation between KSR1 (p-KSR1) and ERK (p-ERK) was calculated by the method of Pearson's correlation coefficient. Differences were considered significant at P<0.05.

Results

Immunohistochemical analysis of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in the gastric cancer and normal tissues. Immunohistochemical staining showed that the expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 was present in the cytoplasm and staining of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in the cancer tissues was significantly stronger than that in the matched normal tissue (Fig. 1). The positive staining rates of cancerous tissues were significantly higher than those of the normal tissues (Table I). Moreover, there was a close correlation between the expression of KSR1 (p-KSR1) and ERK1/2 (p-ERK1/2) (Table II). Coexpression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 was significantly associated with histological grade, TNM stage, lymph node and distant metastasis, but there was no correlation between the expression levels and age or gender (Table III).

Real-time fluorescent quantitative PCR detection of KSR1, ERK1 and ERK2 in the gastric cancer and normal tissues. The mRNA expression level was detected in 62 paired gastric cancer and matched normal tissues. Compared with the normal tissues, the relative mRNA copy values of KSR1, ERK1 and
ERK2 were 2.43±0.49, 2.10±0.44 and 3.65±0.94 in the cancer tissues (Fig. 2).

Table III. Clinicopathological characteristics and their association with the protein expression in the gastric cancer tissues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological characteristics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well and moderately differentiated</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly differentiated</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I+II</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III+IV</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KSR1, kinase suppressor of Ras 1; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase.

Figure 3. Effects of CDDP and etoposide on tumor cell proliferation. (A) Effect of CDDP on the cell viability of SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells. (B) Effect of etoposide on the cell viability of SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells. Cells were treated with 1 µg/ml (L, low dose), 2 µg/ml (M, medium dose), 4 µg/ml (H, high dose) CDDP or 5 µg/ml (L), 10 µg/ml (M), 20 µg/ml (H) etoposide for 0, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h. All results shown are the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. CDDP, cisplatin.

ERK2 were 2.43±0.49, 2.10±0.44 and 3.65±0.94 in the cancer tissues (Fig. 2).

Effects of CDDP and etoposide on the proliferation and apoptosis of SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells. As showed in
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Figure 4. Effects of CDDP and etoposide on tumor cell apoptosis. Cells were treated with 2 µg/ml CDDP or 10 µg/ml etoposide for 0, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h. (A) Effect of CDDP on the cell apoptosis in SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells. (B) Effect of etoposide on the cell apoptosis in SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells. All results shown are the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. CDDP, cisplatin.

Figure 5. EGb 761 enhances the anti-proliferation effect of CDDP and etoposide in the SGC-7901 cells. (A) Effect of EGb 761 on the proliferation inhibition effect induced by various concentrations of CDDP. Cells were treated with 80 µg/ml (L), 320 µg/ml (M) and 1,280 µg/ml (H) EGb 761 and CDDP for 24 h. (B) Effect of EGb 761 on the proliferation inhibition effect induced by 2 µg/ml CDDP for various time. (C) Effect of EGb 761 on the proliferation inhibition effect induced by various concentrations of etoposide. Cells were treated with EGb 761 and various concentrations of etoposide for 24 h. (D) Effect of EGb 761 on the proliferation inhibition effect induced by 10 µg/ml etoposide for various times. All results shown are the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. EGb, Ginkgo biloba extract; CDDP, cisplatin.
Fig. 3, the proliferation of SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells was suppressed by CDDP and etoposide in a time- and dose-dependent manner. Moreover, the proliferation suppression level of SGC-7901 cells was more significant than that of SGC-7901/CDDP cells.

Results of the flow cytometric analysis showed that cell apoptosis was induced by CDDP and etoposide in a time-dependent manner, and the apoptosis rate of SGC-7901 cells was significantly higher than that of the SGC-7901/CDDP cells (Fig. 4).

EGb 761 enhances the antiproliferation and apoptosis-inducing effect of CDDP and etoposide in cancer cells. Compared with the CDDP and etoposide groups, the apoptosis rate was obviously elevated following simultaneous treatment with EGb 761 both in the SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells (Fig. 7).

EGb 761 reduces the oxidative stress level of the SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells. Compared with the control, CDDP and etoposide groups, the activities of SOD and GSH-Px were notably increased, while the content of MDA was obviously decreased in the EGb 761, EGb 761+CDDP and EGb 761+etoposide groups (Table IV and V).

Expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in the SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells. Western blot analysis determined that the expression levels of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in the SGC-7901/CDDP cells were higher than those levels in the SGC-7901 cells (Fig. 8A). Protein expression was also detected using immunocytochemical analysis. KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 expression in the SGC-7901/CDDP cells was much higher than that in the SGC-7901 cells (Fig. 8B).
EGb 761 suppresses the expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 induced by CDDP and etoposide in the SGC-7901 cells. As shown in Fig. 9, there was basic expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in the SGC-7901 cells, and the expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 was induced by CDDP and etoposide. Further study indicated that the expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 induced by CDDP and etoposide in the SGC-7901 cells was strikingly reduced following combined treatment with EGb 761.

Expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 is suppressed by EGb 761 in the SGC-7901/CDDP cells. Western blot analysis determined that the expression of KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 in the SGC-7901/CDDP cells was significantly suppressed by EGb 761 (Fig. 10A). Immunocytochemical analysis revealed that the KSR1, p-KSR1, ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 expression in the SGC-7901/CDDP cells was strikingly reduced following combined treatment with EGb 761 (Fig. 10B).

Discussion

As KSR1 is an essential scaffold protein of the Ras/Raf/MAPK cascade (3), one of the well-known oncogenic pathways, studies are beginning to explore the biological characteristics of KSR1 in different types of cancer. There are reports that the expression of KSR1 is upregulated in various types of tumors (5). The present research confirmed that KSR1 (dephosphorylated KSR1) and p-KSR1 (phosphorylated KSR1-S392) were overexpressed in gastric cancer tissues. Evidence showed that KSR-1 locates in the cytosol, is phosphorylated on S297 and S392, and is held in an inactive state in quiescent cells. The activation of RAS stimulates the dephosphorylation of KSR-1 on S392 and results in its translocation to the plasma membrane where KSR-1 potentiates the MAPK signaling pathway (8). Thus, the supplement of KSR1 and p-KSR1 inhibits the growth and metastasis of gastric cancer cells through inhibiting the activation of the MAPK pathway. Furthermore, the mechanism of KSR1 and p-KSR1 targeting the MAPK signaling pathway may provide new insights for the treatment of gastric cancer.
expression and activation of KSR1 are both elevated in gastric cancer. Additionally, the overexpression of KSR1 and p-KSR1 was found to correlate with TNM stage, histological grade, lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis, indicating that KSR1 may contribute to the carcinogenesis and metastasis of gastric cancer.

Evidence also showed that the cell proliferative and oncogenic potential were induced by introduction of KSR1 into...
KSR1-/- mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). In contrast, the cell transformation was suppressed by the removal of KSR1 (22). Moreover, KSR1 was shown to contribute to the tumorigenesis of B-cell tumors, and skin and pancreatic cancer through the regulation of the MAPK cascade in a mouse model (7,8,23). In gastric cancer, much attention has focused on the ERK signaling pathway, and accumulated data reveal that the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway not only regulates tumor progression but is also involved in the development of chemotherapy resistant (11,24). In gastric cancer tissues, the expression of KSR1 and p-KSR1 was confirmed to be closely related with the expression of ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2. Moreover, the expression and activation of KSR1 and ERK1/2 in the multidrug resistance gastric cancer SGC-7901/CDDP cells were higher than these parameters in the SGC-7901 cells. Therefore, the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 signaling pathway may play an important role in tumorigenesis, metastasis and development of chemoresistance in gastric cancer.

It was reported that continuous infusion of phosphorothioate antisense ODNs targeting KSR1 reduced the tumor growth of human PANC-1 pancreatic and A549 non-small cell lung carcinoma xenografts in nude mice (25), and blocking the activation of the ERK1/2 signaling pathway enhanced the proliferation-suppressing and apoptosis-inducing capacity of chemotherapy reagents in gastric cancer (11). Hence, suppression of the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 signaling pathway may be a potential therapeutic for enhancing chemotherapy sensitivity and reversing chemoresistance in gastric cancer.

EGb, a natural plant material, has been used as a medicine for centuries with little side effects in China. EGb 761 is a standardized concentrated extract of Ginkgo biloba, containing 24% flavone glycosides, 6% terpene lactones and less than 5 ppm ginkgolic acid (26). EGb 761 has been registered as a prescription medicine in many countries. Considerable studies indicate that EGb is beneficial in the prevention and therapy of diseases and degenerative processes associated with oxidative stress (27). In recent years, a number of experimental and clinical evidence has demonstrated that EGb possesses antitumor activities and it has been used to treat several types of solid tumors and malignancies of the blood system (13,27). EGb 761 can inhibit tumor cell proliferation and induce cell apoptosis of colon, pancreatic and oral cavity cancer (14,28,29). However, the anticancer effects of EGb on gastric cancer have not yet been confirmed. One study showed that EGb can reduce the incidence of mild to severe intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia in rat gastric mucosa induced by oral administration of N-methyl-N’-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine, and its mechanism may be related to the regulation of cell proliferation and apoptosis (18). In the present study, EGb 761 inhibited cell growth and enhanced the antiproliferation
and apoptosis-inducing activities of CDDP and etoposide in SGC-7901 and SGC-7901/CDDP cells. These findings suggested that EGb 761 was able to prevent the development, enhance the chemotherapy sensitivity and reverse the chemoresistance of gastric cancer.

There is evidence that the proliferation, migration and tube formation in vitro and the angiogenesis in vivo of endothelial cells was suppressed by EGb 761 through inhibiting the ERK signaling pathway (17). In the present study, the antiproliferation and apoptosis-inducing activities of CDDP and etoposide were elevated with the suppression of the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 signaling pathway by EGB 761. These findings indicate that EGB 761 enhanced the chemotherapy sensitivity and reversed the chemoresistance of gastric cancer cells via suppressing the activation of the KSR1-mediated ERK signaling pathway. However, the molecular mechanisms remain to be determined.

It is true that EGb is a potent antioxidant and has been showed to have hydroxyl scavenging property, lipid peroxidation restraining capacity and antioxidant enzyme-like activity (12,21). In gastric cancer cells, the antioxidative activity of EGB 761 was confirmed to be related to the suppression of the KSR1-mediated ERK signaling pathway. Indeed, phosphorylation of ERK1/2 was induced by H2O2 treatment in lymphocytes and the peak activity was at ~10 min after ROS exposure (30). Additionally, the growth and proliferation of human cervical cancer cells were enhanced by cancer-derived immunoglobulin G (IgG) via inducing the production of low level ROS. Inversely, the growth of IgG-deficient cancer cells was inhibited by ROS scavengers through suppressing the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway induced by a low level of intracellular ROS (31). Thus, ROS may play a role in the activation of the ERK1/2 signaling pathway in some cancer cells. EGB 761 may enhance the chemotherapeutic sensitivity and reverse the chemoresistance via suppressing ROS induced by the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 signaling pathway in gastric cancer. However, there is a report that a high level of glucose contributes to the oxidative stress and activated ERK signaling pathway. Inhibitor of the ERK signaling pathway impaired the production of ROS in pancreatic cancer cells (32), suggesting that the ERK signaling pathway may be involved in the regulation of the production of ROS. The exact mechanism of the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 signaling pathway and ROS requires further investigation.

In summary, activation of the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 signaling pathway may contribute to tumorigenesis, metastasis and chemoresistance of gastric cancer. EGB 761 may enhance the chemotherapeutic sensitivity and reverse the chemoresistance through suppressing the activation of the KSR1-mediated ERK1/2 signaling pathway in gastric cancer cells, and the underlying mechanism may be related to its antioxidative activity.
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